arXiv:1204.4810v1 [math.CV] 21 Apr 2012

EXISTENCE OF CR SECTIONS FOR HIGH POWER OF SEMI-POSITIVE RIGID HEISENBERG LINE BUNDLES OVER COMPACT HEISENBERG MANIFOLDS

CHIN-YU HSIAO

Abstract. Let X be a compact Heisenberg manifold of dimension $2n-1$, $n\geqslant 2$, and let L be a semi-positive rigid Heisenberg line bundle over X. In this paper we prove that if L is positive at some point of X and conditions $Y(0)$ and $Y(1)$ hold at each point of X, then L is big.

Contents

1. Introduction and statement of the main results 1
1.1. Some standard notations 4
1.2. Set up and the main results 4
1.3. The outline of the proof of Theorem 1.13 7
2. Szegö kernels for lower energy forms 10
3. Canonical coordinates of Heisenberg manifolds 14
4. The scaling technique 17
5. The operators $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$ and $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}$ 23
6. The asymptotic behaviour of $(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)$ 26
7. The asymptotic behaviour of $(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x)$ 31
8. The proof of Theorem 1.13 37
9. Examples 40
9.1. Compact Heisenberg groups 40
9.2. Holomorphic line bundles over a complex torus 42
References 42

1. Introduction and statement of the main results

Let X be a compact CR manifold of dimension $2n-1$, $n\geq 2$. When X is strongly pseudoconvex and dimension of X is greater than five, a classical theorem of L. Boutet de Monvel [4] asserts that X can be globally CR embedded into $\mathbb{C}^N$, for some $N\in\mathbb{N}$. For a strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension greater than five, the dimension of the kernel of the tangential Cauchy-Riemmann operator $\overline{\partial}_b$ is infinite and we can find many CR functions to embed X into complex space. When the Levi form of X has negative eigenvalues, global embedding problems for X are a very important subject in CR geometry, see Andreotti-Siu [1], Epstein-Henkin [8] and Marinescu [12]. In this case, the dimension of the kernel of $\overline{\partial}_b$ is finite and could be zero and in general, X can not be globally CR embedded into complex space. Inspired by Kodaira, we introduced in [10] (see also [12]) the idea of embedding CR manifolds by means of CR sections of tensor powers L^k of a CR line bundle $L\rightarrow X$. If the dimension of the space $H^0_b(X,L^k)$ of CR sections of L^k is large, when $k\rightarrow\infty$, one should find many CR sections to embed X into projective space. In analogy to the Kodaira embedding theorem, it is natural

---

Date: April 20.

The author is supported by the DFG funded project MA 2469/2-1.

to ask if X can be globally embedded into projective space when it carries a CR line bundle with positive curvature? To understand this question, it is crucial to be able to know if $\dim H^0_b(X, L^k) \sim k^n$, for k large? The following conjecture was implicit in [12]

Conjecture 1.1. If L is positive and the Levi form of X has at least two negative and two positive eigenvalues, then

$$\dim H^0_b(X, L^k) \sim k^n,$$

for k large.

The difficulty of this conjecture comes from the presence of positive eigenvalues of the curvature of the line bundle and negative eigenvalues of the Levi form of X and this causes the associated Kohn Laplacian to have no semi-classical spectral gap. This problem is also closely related to the fact that in the global L^2-estimates for the $\overline{\partial}_b$-operator of Kohn-Hörmander there is a curvature term from the line bundle as well from the boundary and, in general, it is very difficult to control the sign of the total curvature contribution.

In complex geometry, Demailly's holomorphic Morse inequalities [7] handled the corresponding analytical difficulties in a new way. Inspired by Demailly, we established analogues of the holomorphic Morse inequalities of Demailly for CR manifolds (see [10])

Theorem 1.2. *[Hsiao-Marinescu, 2009] We assume that the Levi form of X has at least two negative and two positive eigenvalues. Then as $k \to \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &-\dim H^0_b(X, L^k) + \dim H^1_b(X, L^k) \\ &\leqslant \frac{k^n}{2(2\pi)^n}\Big(-\int_X\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),0}}\big|\det(M^\phi_x + s\mathcal{L}_x)\big|\,ds\,dv_X(x) \\ &\quad + \int_X\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),1}}\big|\det(M^\phi_x + s\mathcal{L}_x)\big|\,ds\,dv_X(x)\Big) + o(k^n), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where M^ϕ_x is the associated curvature of L at $x \in X$ (see Definition 1.9), $H^1_b(X, L^k)$ denotes the first $\overline{\partial}_b$ cohomology group with values in L^k, $dv_X(x)$ is the volume form on X, $\mathcal{L}_x$ denotes the Levi form of X at $x \in X$, and for $x \in X$, $q = 0, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),q} = \{&s \in \mathbb{R};\ M^\phi_x + s\mathcal{L}_x \text{ has exactly } q \text{ negative eigenvalues} \\ &\text{and } n-1-q \text{ positive eigenvalues}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

From (1.1), we see that if

$$\int_X\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),0}}\big|\det(M^\phi_x + s\mathcal{L}_x)\big|\,dsdv_X(x) > \int_X\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),1}}\big|\det(M^\phi_x + s\mathcal{L}_x)\big|\,dsdv_X(x) \tag{1.3}$$

then L is big, that is $\dim H^0_b(X, L^k) \sim k^n$. This is a very general criterion and it is desirable to refine it in some cases where (1.3) is not easy to verify. The problem still comes from the presence of positive eigenvalues of M^ϕ_x and negative eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}_x$.

For the better understanding, let's see a simple example (see section 9.1, for the details). We consider compact analogues of the Heisenberg group H_n. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}$ be given non-zero integers. We assume that $\lambda_1 < 0, \ldots, \lambda_{n_-} < 0, \lambda_{n_-+1} > 0, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1} > 0$. Let $\mathscr{C}H_n = (\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})/_\sim$, where $(z, \theta) \sim (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\theta})$ if

$$\widetilde{z} - z = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} + i\sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}, \quad \widetilde{\theta} - \theta - i\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_j(z_j\overline{\alpha}_j - \overline{z}_j\alpha_j) \in \pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

We can check that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and $\mathscr{C}H_n$ is a compact manifold of dimension $2n-1$. The equivalence class of $(z, \theta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $[(z, \theta)]$. For a given point $p = [(z, \theta)]$, we define the CR structure $T^{1,0}_p\mathscr{C}H_n$ of $\mathscr{C}H_n$ to be the space spanned by $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta},\ \ j = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then $(\mathscr{C}H_n, T^{1,0}_p\mathscr{C}H_n)$ is a compact CR manifold of dimension $2n-1$. With a suitable choose of a Hermitian metric on the complexified tangent bundle of $\mathscr{C}H_n$, the Levi form of $\mathscr{C}H_n$ at $p\in\mathscr{C}H_n$ is given by $\mathcal{L}_p=\sum^{n-1}_{j=1}\lambda_jdz_j\wedge d\overline{z}_j$. Let $L=(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C})/_\equiv$ where $(z,\theta,\eta)\equiv(\widetilde z,\widetilde\theta,\widetilde\eta)$ if

$$(z,\theta)\sim(\widetilde z,\widetilde\theta),\ \ \widetilde\eta=\eta\exp(\sum^{n-1}_{j,t=1}\mu_{j,t}(z_j\overline\alpha_t+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\overline\alpha_t))\,,\quad\text{for }(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1})=\widetilde z-z,$$

where $\mu_{j,t}=\mu_{t,j}$, $j,t=1,\ldots,n-1$, are given integers. We can check that $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation and L is a CR line bundle over $\mathscr{C}H_n$. For $(z,\theta,\eta)\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}$ we denote $[(z,\theta,\eta)]$ its equivalence class. Take the pointwise norm $\left|[(z,\theta,\eta)]\right|^2_{h^L}:=\left|\eta\right|^2\exp\big(-\sum^{n-1}_{j,t=1}\mu_{j,t}z_j\overline z_t\big)$ on L. Then the associated curvature of L is given by $M^\phi_x=\sum^{n-1}_{j,t=1}\mu_{j,t}dz_j\wedge d\overline z_t$, $\forall x\in\mathscr{C}H_n$. In this simple example, Conjecture 1.1 becomes

Question 1.3. If $n_-\geq2$, $n-1-n_-\geq2$, and the matrix $\left(\mu_{j,t}\right)^{n-1}_{j,t=1}$ is positive definite, then $\dim H^0_b(\mathscr{C}H_n,L^k)\sim k^n$?

If $\mu_{j,t}=\left|\lambda_j\right|\delta_{j,t}$, $j,t=1,\ldots,n-1$, and $n_-\geq2$, $n-1-n_-\geq2$, where $\delta_{j,t}=1$ if $j=t$, $\delta_{j,t}=0$ if $j\neq t$, then it is easy to see that $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),1}=\emptyset$, where $\mathbb{R}_{\phi(x),1}$ is given by (1.2). Combining this observation with Morse inequalities for CR manifolds(see (1.1)), we get

Theorem 1.4. *If* $n_-\geq2$, $n-1-n_-\geq2$, *and* $\mu_{j,t}=\left|\lambda_j\right|\delta_{j,t}$, $j,t=1,\ldots,n-1$, *then* $\dim H^0_b(\mathscr{C}H_n,L^k)\sim k^n$.

The assumptions in Theorem 1.4 are somehow restrictive. It is clearly that we cannot go much further from Morse inequalities. By using Morse inequalities to approach Conjecture 1.1, we always have to impose extra conditions linking the Levi form and the curvature of the line bundle L. Similar problems also appear in the works of Marinescu [12] and Berman [3] where they studied the $\overline\partial$-Neumann cohomology groups associated to a high power of a given holomorphic line bundle on a compact complex manifold with boundary. In order to get many holomorphic sections, they also have to assume that, close to the boundary, the curvature of the line bundle is adapted to the Levi form of the boundary. In this work, by carefully studying semi-classical behaviour of microlocal Fourier transforms of the extreme functions for the spaces of lower energy forms of the associated Kohn Laplacian, we could solve Conjecture 1.1 under rigidity conditions on X and L without any extra condition linking the Levi form of X and the curvature of L. As an application, we solve Question 1.3 completely. The proof of our main result presents a new way to overcome the analytic difficulty mentioned in the discussion after Conjecture 1.1 under rigidity conditions. By using this new method, it is possible to remove the assumptions linking the curvatures of the line bundle and the boundary in the works of Marinescu [12] and Berman [3] under rigidity conditions on the boundary and the line bundle.

The rigidity conditions we used in this paper are inspired by the work of Baouendi-Rothschild-Treves [2]. They introduced rigidity condition on CR structure and proved that such a manifold can always be locally CR embedded in complex space as a generic submanifold. From their work, rigidity condition on CR structure seems suitable for our purpose. Initially, it is reasonable to first assume that X can be locally embedded and study global embeddability of X. From symmetric point of view, we can expect that the curvature of the line bundle and its transition functions have to satisfy some rigidity conditions (see Definition 1.8 and Definition 1.12). Moreover, with these geometric conditions, it is possible to establish a micolocal asymptotic expansion of the Szegö kernel and extend Kodaira embedding theorem to this situation.

The geometric objects introduced in this paper form large classes of CR manifolds and CR line bundles. We hope that these geometric objects will be interesting for CR geometers and will be useful in CR geometry.

1.1. **Some standard notations.** We shall use the following notations: $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers, $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R};\, x \geq 0\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \bigcup \{0\}$. An element $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ of $\mathbb{N}_0^n$ will be called a multiindex and the length of α is: $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. We write $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\partial_{x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, $D_x^\alpha = D_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $D_x = \frac{1}{i}\partial_x$, $D_{x_j} = \frac{1}{i}\partial_{x_j}$. Let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, be coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We write $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\overline{z}^\alpha = \overline{z}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \overline{z}_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z^\alpha} = \partial_z^\alpha = \partial_{z_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{z_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\partial_{z_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}})$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. $\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \overline{z}^\alpha} = \partial_{\overline{z}}^\alpha = \partial_{\overline{z}_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{\overline{z}_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\partial_{\overline{z}_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}})$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let Ω be a C^∞ paracompact manifold. We let $T\Omega$ and $T^*\Omega$ denote the tangent bundle of Ω and the cotangent bundle of Ω respectively. The complexified tangent bundle of Ω and the complexified cotangent bundle of Ω will be denoted by $\mathbb{C}T\Omega$ and $\mathbb{C}T^*\Omega$ respectively. We write $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$ to denote the pointwise duality between $T\Omega$ and $T^*\Omega$. We extend $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$ bilinearly to $\mathbb{C}T\Omega \times \mathbb{C}T^*\Omega$. Let E be a C^∞ vector bundle over Ω. The fiber of E at $x \in \Omega$ will be denoted by E_x. Let F be another vector bundle over Ω. We write $E \boxtimes F$ to denote the vector bundle over $\Omega \times \Omega$ with fiber over $(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega$ consisting of the linear maps from E_x to F_y.

1.2. **Set up and the main results.** Let $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ be a compact CR manifold of dimension $2n-1$, $n \geqslant 2$, where $T^{1,0}X$ is a CR structure of X. That is, $T^{1,0}X$ is a complex $n-1$ dimensional subbundle of the complexified tangent bundle $\mathbb{C}TX$, satisfying $T^{1,0}X \bigcap T^{0,1}X = \{0\}$, where $T^{0,1}X = \overline{T^{1,0}X}$, and $[\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}] \subset \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V} = C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X)$. We need

Definition 1.5. We say that $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ is a Heisenberg manifold if there exists a global real vector field $T \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}TX)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{C}TX = T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X \oplus \{\lambda T;\, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}\,, \\ &[T, \mathcal{V}] \subset \mathcal{V}, \ \ \mathcal{V} := C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X). \end{aligned}$$

We call T a rigid global real vector field.

It should be noticed that Heisenberg manifolds were introduced in Baouendi-Rothschild-Treves [2]. In their paper [2], they use the terminology rigid CR manifolds instead of Heisenberg manifolds. Let's see some examples

Example 1.6. (I) Let M be an open subset with C^∞ boundary ∂M of a complex manifold M' of dimension n. If for every $x_0 \in \partial M$, we can find local holomorphic coordinates $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ defined in some neighborhood of x_0, such that near x_0, ∂M is given by the equation

$$\operatorname{Im} z_n = f(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}), \quad f \in C^\infty \text{ is real valued},$$

then ∂M is a Heisenberg manifold of dimension $2n-1$.

(II) Let M be a complex manifold and (E, h^E) be a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle on M, where the Hermitian fiber metric on E is denoted by h^E. Let (E^*, h^{E^*}) be the dual bundle of E. We denote

$$G := \{v \in L^*;\, |v|_{h^{L^*}} < 1\}\ , \quad \partial G = \{v \in L^*;\, |v|_{h^{L^*}} = 1\}\ .$$

The domain G is called *Grauert tube* associated to E. It is easy to see that ∂G is a Heisenberg manifold.

(III) The hypersurfaces

$$\left\{(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n;\, \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \left|z_j\right|^2 = R\right\}$$

and

$$\left\{[\xi_0,\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n]\in\mathbb{C}P^n;\ \sum_{j=0}^{n}\lambda_j\left|\xi_j\right|^2=0\right\}$$

are Heisenberg manifolds, where $\lambda_j\in\mathbb{R}$, $j=0,1,\ldots,n$, $R\in\mathbb{R}$ and $[\xi_0,\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n]$ is the standard homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

(IV) Heisenberg groups and compact Heisenberg groups (see section 9.1) are Heisenberg manifolds.

From now on, we assume that $(X,T^{1,0}X)$ is a compact Heisenberg manifold and we fix a rigid global vector field $T\in C^\infty(X,\mathbb{C}TX)$.

Fix a smooth Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ so that $T^{1,0}X$ is pointwise orthogonal to $T^{0,1}X:=\overline{T^{1,0}X}$, T is pointwise orthogonal to $T^{1,0}X\oplus T^{0,1}X$, $\langle T|T\rangle:=\left\|T\right\|^2=1$ and $\langle u|v\rangle$ is real if u, v are real tangent vectors.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}T^{*1,0}X&:=\left\{e\in\mathbb{C}T^*X;\ \langle e,u\rangle=0,\forall u\in T^{0,1}X\oplus\{\lambda T;\ \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\}\right\},\\ T^{*0,1}X&:=\left\{f\in\mathbb{C}T^*X;\ \langle f,v\rangle=0,\forall v\in T^{1,0}X\oplus\{\lambda T;\ \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

$T^{*1,0}X$ and $T^{*0,1}X$ are subbundles of the complexified cotangent bundle $\mathbb{C}T^*X$. Define the vector bundle of $(0,q)$ forms of X by $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X:=\Lambda^qT^{*0,1}X$. Let $D\subset X$ be an open set. Let $\Omega^{0,q}(D)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X$ over D. Similarly, if E is a vector bundle over D, then we let $\Omega^{0,q}(D,E)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X\otimes E$ over D. Let $\Omega^{0,q}_0(D,E)$ be the subspace of $\Omega^{0,q}(D,E)$ whose elements have compact support in D.

The Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ induces, by duality, a Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}T^*X$ and also on $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X$ the bundle of $(0,q)$ forms of X. We shall also denote all these induced metrics by $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$. For $f\in\Omega^{0,q}(X)$, we denote the pointwise norm $\left|f(x)\right|^2:=\langle f(x)|f(x)\rangle$.

Locally there is a real 1-form ω_0 of length one which is orthogonal to $T^{*1,0}X\oplus T^{*0,1}X$. The form ω_0 is unique up to the choice of sign. We choose ω_0 so that $\langle T,\omega_0\rangle=-1$. Therefore ω_0 is uniquely determined. We call ω_0 the uniquely determined global real 1-form. We have the pointwise orthogonal decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{C}T^*X&=T^{*1,0}X\oplus T^{*0,1}X\oplus\{\lambda\omega_0;\ \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\},\\ \mathbb{C}TX&=T^{1,0}X\oplus T^{0,1}X\oplus\{\lambda T;\ \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.4}$$

Definition 1.7. For $p\in X$, the *Levi form* $\mathcal{L}_p$ is the Hermitian quadratic form on $T^{1,0}_pX$ defined as follows. For any $U,\ V\in T^{1,0}_pX$, pick $\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V}\in C^\infty(X,T^{1,0}X)$ such that $\mathcal{U}(p)=U$, $\mathcal{V}(p)=V$. Set

$$\mathcal{L}_p(U,\overline{V})=\frac{1}{2i}\big\langle\big[\,\mathcal{U}\ ,\overline{\mathcal{V}}\,\big](p)\ ,\omega_0(p)\big\rangle,\tag{1.5}$$

where $\big[\,\mathcal{U}\ ,\overline{\mathcal{V}}\,\big]=\mathcal{U}\ \overline{\mathcal{V}}-\overline{\mathcal{V}}\ \mathcal{U}$ denotes the commutator of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$. Note that $\mathcal{L}_p$ does not depend of the choices of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$.

Since $\mathcal{L}_p$ is a Hermitian form there exists a local orthonormal basis $\{\mathcal{U}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{U}_{n-1}\}$ of $T^{1,0}X$ with respect to $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$ such that $\mathcal{L}_p$ is diagonal in this basis, $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{U}_j,\overline{\mathcal{U}}_t)=\delta_{j,t}\lambda_j(p)$, $j,t=1,\ldots,n-1$, $\delta_{j,t}=1$ if $j=t$, $\delta_{j,t}=0$ if $j\neq t$, $\lambda_j(p)\in\mathbb{R}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. The diagonal entries $\{\lambda_1(p),\ldots,\lambda_{n-1}(p)\}$ are called the *eigenvalues* of the Levi form at $p\in X$ with respect to $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$.

Given $q\in\{0,\ldots,n-1\}$, the Levi form is said to satisfy *condition* $Y(q)$ at $p\in X$, if $\mathcal{L}_p$ has at least either $\max\,(q+1,n-q)$ eigenvalues of the same sign or $\min\,(q+1,n-q)$ pairs of eigenvalues with opposite signs. Note that the sign of the eigenvalues does not depend on the choice of the metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$.

Let

$$\overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,q}(X) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(X) \tag{1.6}$$

be the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator (see chapter 7 in [5]). Let $U \Subset X$ be an open set. We say that a function $u \in C^\infty(U)$ is a rigid CR function if $\overline{\partial}_b u = 0$ and $Tu = 0$.

Definition 1.8. Let L be a complex line bundle over X. We say that L is a Heisenberg line bundle over X if its transition functions are rigid CR functions.

From now on, let (L, h^L) be a Heisenberg line bundle over X, where the Hermitian fiber metric on L is denoted by h^L. We will denote by ϕ the local weights of the Hermitian metric h^L. More precisely, if s is a local trivializing section of L on an open subset $D \subset X$, then the local weight of h^L with respect to s is the function $\phi \in C^\infty(D, \mathbb{R})$ for which

$$|s(x)|^2_{h^L} = e^{-\phi(x)}\,, \quad x \in D. \tag{1.7}$$

Definition 1.9. Let s be a local trivializing section of L on an open subset $D \subset X$ and ϕ the corresponding local weight as in (1.7). For $p \in D$ we define the Hermitian quadratic form M^ϕ_p on $T^{1,0}_p X$ by

$$M^\phi_p(U, \overline{V}) = \frac{1}{2}\Big\langle U \wedge \overline{V}, d\big(\overline{\partial}_b\phi - \partial_b\phi\big)(p)\Big\rangle, \;\; U, V \in T^{1,0}_p X, \tag{1.8}$$

where d is the usual exterior derivative and $\overline{\overline{\partial}_b\phi} = \partial_b\overline{\phi}$.

In Proposition 4.2 of [10], we show that the definition of M^ϕ_p is independent of the choice of local trivializations.

Definition 1.10. We say that (L, h^L) is positive at $x_0 \in X$ if the Hermitian quadratic form $M^\phi_{x_0}$ is positive.

Definition 1.11. We say that (L, h^L) is semi-positive if there is a positive constant $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x \in X$ and $s \in [-\delta, \delta]$, the Hermitian quadratic form $M^\phi_x + 2s\mathcal{L}_x$ is semi-positive.

Definition 1.12. Let (L, h^L) be a Heisenberg line bundle over X. We say that (L, h^L) is a rigid Heisenberg line bundle over X if

$$\overline{\partial}_b T\phi = 0 \;\text{ on } X, \tag{1.9}$$

where ϕ denotes the corresponding local weight as in (1.7).

Since the transition functions are rigid CR functions, we can check that $T\phi$ is a well-defined global smooth function on X. Thus, the condition (1.9) makes sense. We notice that the geometric meaning of the condition (1.9) becomes more natural when X is a generalized torus Heisenberg manifold (see the discussion after Theorem 1.13). Notice also that if ψ is a global real valued smooth function on X with $T\psi = 0$, then the trivail line bundle $X \times \mathbb{C}$ with the Hermitian fiber metric $e^{-\psi}$ is a rigid Heisenberg line bundle over X.

Let L^k, $k > 0$, be the k-th tensor power of the line bundle L. We write $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$ to denote the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator acting on forms with values in L^k, defined locally by:

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,k} : \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(X, L^k)\,, \quad \overline{\partial}_{b,k}(s^k u) := s^k\overline{\partial}_b u, \tag{1.10}$$

where s is a local trivialization of L on an open subset $D \subset X$ and $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(D)$. We obtain a $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}$-complex $(\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X, L^k), \overline{\partial}_{b,k})$ with cohomology

$$H^\bullet_b(X, L^k) := \ker\overline{\partial}_{b,k}/\operatorname{Im}\overline{\partial}_{b,k}. \tag{1.11}$$

We assume that $Y(0)$ holds. By [11, 7.6-7.8], [9, 5.4.11-12], [5, Props. 8.4.8-9], condition $Y(0)$ implies that $\dim H^0_b(X,L^k)<\infty$.

Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.13. *Let* $(X,T^{1,0}X)$ *be a compact Heisenberg manifold of dimension* $2n-1$, $n\geqslant 2$ *and let* (L,h^L) *be a semi-positive rigid Heisenberg line bundle over* X. *We assume that* (L,h^L) *is positive at some point of* X *and conditions* $Y(0)$ *and* $Y(1)$ *hold at each point of* X. *Then, for* k *large, there is a constant* $c>0$ *independnet of* k, *such that*

$$\dim H^0_b(X,L^k)\geq ck^n.$$

We investigate Theorem 1.13 on generalized torus Heisenberg manifolds. Let $\Phi^t(x)$ be the T-flow. That is, $\Phi^t(x)$ is a differentiable mapping:

$$t\rightarrow\Phi^t(x)\in X: I\rightarrow X,$$

I is an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$, $0\in I$, such that $\Phi^0(x)=x$, $\forall x\in X$, and $\frac{d\Phi^t(x)}{dt}=T(\Phi^t(x))$. We need

Definition 1.14. We say that X is a generalized torus Heisenberg manifold if there is a constant $\gamma_0>0$ such that $\Phi^t(x)$ is well-defined, $\forall\,|t|\leq\gamma_0$, $\forall x\in X$, and $\Phi^{\gamma_0}(x)=x$ for every $x\in X$.

Definition 1.15. Let L be a Heisenberg line bundle over a generalized torus Heisenberg manifold X. We say that L is admissible if we can find an open covering $\{U_j\}^N_{j=1}$ of X such that L is trivial on U_j, for each j, and $\{\Phi^t(x);\,x\in U_j,|t|\leq\gamma_0\}=U_j$, for each j, where $\gamma_0>0$ is as in Definition 1.14.

Let L be an admissible Heisenberg manifold over a generalized torus Heisenberg manifold X. Take any Hermitian fiber metric h^L on L and let ϕ denotes the corresponding local weight as in (1.7). Let h^L_1 be the Hermitian fiber metric on L locally given by $|s|^2_{h^L_1}=e^{-\phi_1}$, where $\phi_1=\frac{1}{\gamma_0}\int^{\gamma_0}_0\phi(\Phi^t(x))dt$, $\gamma_0>0$ is as in Definition 1.14, s is a local trivializing section of L. It is easy to check that h^L_1 is well-defined and $T\phi_1=0$. Thus, (L,h^L_1) is a rigid Heisenberg line bundle over X. Moreover, we can show that if M^ϕ_x is positive on X then $M^{\phi_1}_x$ is positive on X (see Proposition 3.3, for the proof). Combining this with Theorem 1.13, we obtain

Theorem 1.16. *Let* $(X,T^{1,0}X)$ *be a compact generalized torus Heisenberg manifold of dimension* $2n-1$, $n\geqslant 2$ *and let* (L,h^L) *be an admissible Heisenberg line bundle over* X. *We assume that* (L,h^L) *is positive on* X *and conditions* $Y(0)$ *and* $Y(1)$ *hold at each point of* X. *Then, for* k *large, there is a constant* $c>0$ *independnet of* k, *such that*

$$\dim H^0_b(X,L^k)\geq ck^n.$$

1.3. **The outline of the proof of Theorem 1.13.** Let $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ denote the Kohn Laplacian with values in L^k (see section 2). Fix $q=0,1,\ldots,n-1$. We assume that $Y(q)$ holds. It is well-known that $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ has a discrete spectrum, each eigenvalues occurs with finite multiplicity and all eigenforms are smooth and $\operatorname{Ker}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}:=\mathscr{H}^q_b(X,L^k)\cong H^q_b(X,L^k)$. For $\lambda\geq 0$, let $\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k)$ denote the space spanned by the eigenforms of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ whose eigenvalues are bounded by λ. Now, we assume that $Y(0)$ and $Y(1)$ hold and (L,h^L) is semi-positive and positive at some point of X. Take $\delta_0>0$ be a small constant so that $M^\phi_x+2s\mathcal{L}_x\geq 0$, $\forall\,|s|\leq\delta_0$, $\forall x\in X$. Take $\psi(\eta)\in C^\infty_0(]-\delta_0,\delta_0[,\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ so that $\psi(\eta)=1$ if $-\frac{\delta_0}{2}\leq\eta\leq\frac{\delta_0}{2}$. Take $\chi(t)\in C^\infty_0(]-2,2[,\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ so that $0\leq\chi(t)\leq 1$ and $\chi(t)=1$ if $-1\leq t\leq 1$ and $\chi(-t)=\chi(t)$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Fix $M>0$. Under the rigid assumptions in Theorem 1.13, we can construct global continuous operators $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}:C^\infty(X,L^k)\rightarrow C^\infty(X,L^k)$ and $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}:\Omega^{0,1}(X,L^k)\rightarrow\Omega^{0,1}(X,L^k)$ such that

$$\overline\partial_{b,k}Q^{(0)}_{M,k}=Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\overline\partial_{b,k}\ \ \text{on } C^\infty(X,L^k) \tag{1.12}$$

and $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$, $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}$ are formally given by the following. Let s be a local section of L on $D\subset X$, $\left|s\right|^2=e^{-\phi}$, and let $\Phi^t(x)$ be the T-flow. Then,

$$\begin{split}&(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f)(x)=s^ke^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(x)}\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi(\frac{t}{M})e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))}\widetilde f(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))dtd\eta\ \ \text{on } D,\\&(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}g)(x)=s^ke^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(x)}\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi(\frac{t}{M})e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))}\widetilde g(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))dtd\eta\ \ \text{on } D,\end{split} \tag{1.13}$$

where $f=s^k\widetilde f\in C^\infty_0(D,L^k)$, $g=s^k\widetilde g\in\Omega^{0,1}_0(D,L^k)$. (See section 5, for the precise definitions for the operators $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$, $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}$.) Roughly speaking, the semi-calssical support of the Fourier transforms in the T-direction of $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$ and $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}$ are almost every supported in $\operatorname{Supp}\psi$. For $\lambda\geq0$, define

$$\begin{split}&(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(0)}_{k,\leq\lambda})(x):=\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\langle Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f_j(x)|f_j(x)\rangle_{h^{L^k}},\\&(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(1)}_{k,\leq\lambda}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x):=\sum^{p_k}_{j=1}\langle Q^{(1)}_{M,k}g_j(x)|Q^{(1)}_{M,k}g_j(x)\rangle_{h^{L^k}},\end{split} \tag{1.14}$$

where $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{h^{L^k}}$ denotes the Hermitian metric on $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X\otimes L^k$ induced by h^L and $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$, $f_j(x)\in C^\infty(X,L^k)$, $j=1,\ldots,m_k$, is an orthonormal frame for the space $\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k)$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{h^{L^k}}$ the natural L^2 inner product induced by $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{h^{L^k}}$ and the volume form of X, $g_j(x)\in\Omega^{0,1}(X,L^k)$, $j=1,\ldots,p_k$, is an orthonormal frame for $\mathscr{H}^1_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k)$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{h^{L^k}}$. It is straightforward to see that the definitions (1.14) are independent of the choices of orthonormal frames. The point of our proof is that there exists a sequence $\nu_k>0$ with $\nu_k\rightarrow0$ as $k\rightarrow\infty$, such that

(1.15) For each $x\in X$, $\lim_{k\rightarrow\infty}k^{-n}(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)$ exists and is real valued,

$$\lim_{k\rightarrow\infty}k^{-n}(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)\geq(2\pi)^{1-n}\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi-\frac{C_1}{M^2},\ \ \forall x\in X, \tag{1.16}$$

$$\limsup_{k\rightarrow\infty}k^{-n}(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x)\leq\frac{C_1}{M^2},\ \ \forall x\in X, \tag{1.17}$$

and

$$\begin{split}&\sup\Bigr\{k^{-n}\left|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)\right|;\,k>0,x\in X\Bigr\}<\infty,\\&\sup\Bigr\{k^{-n}(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x);\,k>0,x\in X\Bigr\}<\infty,\end{split} \tag{1.18}$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{x,0}$ is given by (2.15) and $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)=1$ if $\xi\in\mathbb{R}_{x,0}$, $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)=0$ if $\xi\notin\mathbb{R}_{x,0}$ and $C_1>0$ is a constant independent of k and M.

From (1.18), we can apply Lebesgue dominate Theorem and Fatou's lemma and we get by using (1.16) and (1.17),

$$\begin{split}&\left|\int_X(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)dv_X(x)\right|\\&\geq k^n\Bigr((2\pi)^{1-n}\int_X\bigr(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\bigr)dv_X(x)-\frac{C_2}{M^2}\Bigr)+o(k^n),\end{split} \tag{1.19}$$

$$\int_X (Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x)dv_X(x)\leq k^n\frac{C_2}{M^2}+o(k^n),\tag{1.20}$$

where $C_2>0$ is a constant independent of M and k, $dv_X(x)$ is the volume form on X.

Let $\mathscr{H}^0_{b,0<\lambda\leq k\nu_k}(X,L^k)$ denote the space spanned by the eigenforms of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ whose eigenvalues are >0 and $\leq k\nu_k$. Let $f_{1,k},f_{2,k},\ldots,f_{d_k,k}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathscr{H}^0_b(X,L^k)$, where $d_k=\dim\mathscr{H}^0_b(X,L^k)$. Let $\widetilde{f}_{1,k},\widetilde{f}_{2,k},\ldots,\widetilde{f}_{n_k,k}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathscr{H}^0_{b,0<\lambda\leq k\nu_k}(X,L^k)$. From (1.19) and (1.14), we see that if M is large enough, then

$$\begin{split}&\sum^{d_k}_{j=1}\Big|\int_X\langle Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f_{j,k}|f_{j,k}\rangle_{h^{L^k}}(x)dv_X(x)\Big|+\sum^{n_k}_{j=1}\Big|\int_X\langle Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\widetilde{f}_{j,k}|\widetilde{f}_{j,k}\rangle_{h^{L^k}}(x)dv_X(x)\Big|\\&\quad\geq\frac{k^n}{2}(2\pi)^{1-n}\int_X\Big(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x)\end{split}\tag{1.21}$$

for k large. From (1.12) and (1.14), it is not difficult to check that

$$\begin{split}&\sum^{n_k}_{j=1}\Big|\int_X\langle Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\widetilde{f}_{j,k}|\widetilde{f}_{j,k}\rangle_{h^{L^k}}(x)dv_X(x)\Big|\\&\leq\Big(\int_X(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x)dv_X(x)\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sum^{n_k}_{j=1}\int_X\langle\widetilde{f}_{j,k}|\widetilde{f}_{j,k}\rangle_{h^{L^k}}(x)dv_X(x)\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{split}\tag{1.22}$$

It is well-known (see [10]) that

$$\sup\Big\{k^{-n}\sum^{n_k}_{j=1}\langle\widetilde{f}_{j,k}|\widetilde{f}_{j,k}\rangle_{h^{L^k}}(x);\ k>0,x\in X\Big\}<\infty.\tag{1.23}$$

From (1.23), (1.20), (1.22) and (1.21), it is straightforward to see that if M is large enough, then

$$\begin{split}&\sum^{d_k}_{j=1}\Big|\int_X\langle Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f_{j,k}|f_{j,k}\rangle_{h^{L^k}}(x)dv_X(x)\Big|\\&\quad\geq\frac{k^n}{4}(2\pi)^{1-n}\int_X\Big(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x)\end{split}\tag{1.24}$$

for k large. Moreover, it is straightforward to see that there is a constant $C_M>0$ independent of k such that $\Big|\int_X\langle Q^{(0)}_{M,k}u|u\rangle_{h^{L^k}}(x)dv_X(x)\Big|\leq C_M\int_X\langle u|u\rangle_{h^{L^k}}(x)dv_X(x)$, for all $u\in C^\infty(X,L^k)$. Combining this with (1.24), we have

$$\begin{split}C_Md_k&=C_M\sum^{d_k}_{j=1}\int_X\langle f_{j,k}|f_{j,k}\rangle_{h^{L^k}}(x)dv_X(x)\geq\sum^{d_k}_{j=1}\Big|\int_X\langle Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f_{j,k}|f_{j,k}\rangle_{h^{L^k}}(x)dv_X(x)\Big|\\&\geq\frac{k^n}{4}(2\pi)^{1-n}\int_X\Big(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x).\end{split}$$

Theorem 1.13 follows.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the results in [10] about the asymptotic behaviour of the Szegö kernel for lower energy forms in order to prove (1.15), (1.16) and (1.18). We introduce the extremal function for the space of lower energy forms with respect to a given continuous operator and relate it to the function $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(1)}_{k,\leq\lambda}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}}$ (see Lemma 2.2). This result will be used in the proof of (1.17). In section 3, we introduce canonical coordinates on Heisenberg manifolds and prove that locally we can always find canonical coordinates and local section such that the corresponding local weight has simple form (see Proposition 3.2). Canonical coordinates will be used in the constructions of the operaors $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$ and $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}$ and Proposition 3.2 will be used in section 4 and the proofs of (1.15), (1.16) and (1.17). In section 4, we modify the scaling technique developed in [10] in order to establish the semi-classical Kohn estimates(see Propositions 4.2) and a result about the asymptotic behaviour of a sequence of forms with small energy(see Proposition 4.3). These results play important roles in the proofs of (1.15), (1.16) and (1.17). In section 5, we construct the operators $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$ and $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}$. In section 6, we prove (1.18), (1.15), (1.16) and (1.19). In section 7, we prove (1.17) and (1.20). In section 8, we first prove the inequality (1.22) and then we complete the proof of Theorem 1.13. In section 9, we exemplify our main result in two concrete examples, one of a quotient of the Heisenberg group and the orther of a Grauert tube over the torus.

2. Szegö kernels for lower energy forms

We will use the same notations as section 1. From now on we assume that (L, h^L) is a Heisenberg line bundle over X.

The Hermitian fiber metric on L induces a Hermitian fiber metric on L^k that we shall denote by h^{L^k}. If s is a local trivializing section of L then s^k is a local trivializing section of L^k. The Hermitian metrics $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$ on $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X$ and h^{L^k} induce Hermitian metrics on $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X\otimes L^k$. We shall denote these induced metrics by $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{h^{L^k}}$. For $f\in\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$, we denote the pointwise norm $|f(x)|^2_{h^{L^k}}:=\langle f(x)|f(x)\rangle_{h^{L^k}}$. As (1.10), let

$$\overline\partial_{b,k}:\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)\to\Omega^{0,q+1}(X,L^k) \tag{2.1}$$

denote the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator acting on forms with values in L^k. We denote by $dv_X=dv_X(x)$ the volume form on X induced by the fixed Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$. Then we get natural global L^2 inner products $(\ |\ )_{h^{L^k}}$, $(\ |\ )$ on $\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$ and $\Omega^{0,q}(X)$ respectively. We denote by $L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k)$ the completion of $\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_{h^{L^k}}$. For $f\in\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$, we denote $\|f\|^2_{h^{L^k}}:=(f\ |\ f)_{h^{L^k}}$. Similarly, for $f\in\Omega^{0,q}(X)$, we denote $\|f\|^2:=(f\ |\ f)$. Let

$$\overline\partial^*_{b,k}:\Omega^{0,q+1}(X,L^k)\to\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k) \tag{2.2}$$

be the formal adjoint of $\overline\partial_{b,k}$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_{h^{L^k}}$. The *Kohn-Laplacian* with values in L^k is given by

$$\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}=\overline\partial^*_{b,k}\overline\partial_{b,k}+\overline\partial_{b,k}\overline\partial^*_{b,k}:\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)\to\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k). \tag{2.3}$$

We extend $\overline\partial_{b,k}$ to $L^2_{(0,r)}(X,L^k)$, $r=0,1,\ldots,n-1$, by

$$\overline\partial_{b,k}:\mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial_{b,k}\subset L^2_{(0,r)}(M,L^k)\to L^2_{(0,r+1)}(M,L^k)\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial_{b,k}:=\{u\in L^2_{(0,r)}(X,L^k);\,\overline\partial_{b,k}u\in L^2_{(0,r+1)}(X,L^k)\}$, where for any $u\in L^2_{(0,r)}(X,L^k)$, $\overline\partial_{b,k}u$ is defined in the sense of distribution. We also write

$$\overline\partial^*_{b,k}:\mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial^*_{b,k}\subset L^2_{(0,r+1)}(X,L^k)\to L^2_{(0,r)}(X,L^k) \tag{2.5}$$

to denote the Hilbert space adjoint of $\overline\partial_{b,k}$ in the L^2 space with respect to $(\ |\ )_{h^{L^k}}$. Let $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ also denote the Gaffney extension of the Kohn Laplacian given by

$$\begin{split}\mathrm{Dom}\,\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}=&\{s\in L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k);\ s\in\mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial_{b,k}\cap\mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial^*_{b,k},\\&\overline\partial_{b,k}u\in\mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial^*_{b,k},\ \overline\partial^*_{b,k}u\in\mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial_{b,k}\}\,,\end{split} \tag{2.6}$$

and $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}s=\overline\partial_{b,k}\overline\partial^*_{b,k}s+\overline\partial^*_{b,k}\overline\partial_{b,k}s$ for $s\in\mathrm{Dom}\,\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$. We notice that $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ is a positive self-adjoint operator. For a Borel set $B\subset\mathbb{R}$ we denote by $E(B)$ the spectral projection of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ corresponding to the set B, where E is the spectral measure of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ (see section 2 in Davies [6], for the precise meanings of spectral projection and spectral measure). We notice that the spectrum of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ is contained in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$. For $\lambda \geq 0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k) := \operatorname{Range} E\big((-\infty,\lambda]\big) \subset L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k)\,,\\ &\mathscr{H}^q_{b,>\lambda}(X,L^k) := \operatorname{Range} E\big((\lambda,\infty)\big) \subset L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k).\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

It is well-known (see section 2 in [6]) that for all $\lambda > 0$,

$$L^2_{(0,q)}(X,L^k) = \mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k) \oplus \mathscr{H}^q_{b,>\lambda}(X,L^k) \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\|u\|^2_{h^{L^k}} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}u \mid u)_{h^{L^k}}, \ \ \forall u \in \mathscr{H}^q_{b,>\lambda}(X,L^k)\bigcap \operatorname{Dom}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}. \tag{2.9}$$

For $\lambda = 0$, we denote

$$\mathscr{H}^q_b(X,L^k) := \mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq 0}(X,L^k) = \operatorname{Ker}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Now, fix $q \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ and until further notice we assume that $Y(q)$ holds. By [11, 7.6-7.8], [9, 5.4.11-12], [5, Props. 8.4.8-9], we know that $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ is hypoelliptic, has compact resolvent, the strong Hodge decomposition holds and $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ has a discrete spectrum, each eigenvalues occurs with finite multiplicity and all eigenforms are smooth. Hence, for any $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\dim\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k) < \infty\,, \quad \mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k) \subset \Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)\,, \quad \mathscr{H}^q_b(X,L^k) \cong H^q_b(X,L^k)\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Let $g_j(x) \in \Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$, $j = 1,\ldots,d_k$, $d_k = \dim\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leqslant\lambda}(X,L^k)$, be any orthonormal frame for the space $\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k)$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{h^{L^k}}$. The Szegö kernel function $\Pi^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda}(x)$ of the space $\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leqslant\lambda}(X,L^k)$ is given by

$$\Pi^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant\lambda}(x) := \sum^{d_k}_{j=1}\left|g_j(x)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}\,. \tag{2.12}$$

Let

$$A : \Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$$

be a continuous operator. We define

$$(A\Pi^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant\lambda})(x) := \sum^{d_k}_{j=1}\langle Ag_j(x)|g_j(x)\rangle_{h^{L^k}}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$(A\Pi^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant\lambda}\overline{A})(x) := \sum^{d_k}_{j=1}\left|Ag_j(x)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}\,. \tag{2.14}$$

It is straightforward to see that the definitions (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) are independent of the choices of orthonormal frame g_j, $j = 1,\ldots,d_k$.

For $q = 0,1,\ldots,n-1$ and $x \in X$, set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}_{x,q} = \big\{s \in \mathbb{R};\ &M^\phi_x + 2s\mathcal{L}_x \text{ has exactly } q \text{ negative eigenvalues}\\ &\text{and } n-1-q \text{ positive eigenvalues}\big\}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where M^ϕ_x is given by (1.8) and the eigenvalues of the Hermitian quadratic form $M^\phi_x + 2s\mathcal{L}_x$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, are calculated with respect to the Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$. It is not difficult to see that if $Y(q)$ holds at each point of X then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{R}_{x,q} \subset [-C,C] \text{ for all } x \in X. \tag{2.16}$$

Denote by $\det(M^\phi_x+2s\mathcal{L}_x)$ the product of all the eigenvalues of $M^\phi_x+2s\mathcal{L}_x$. Assuming (2.16) holds, the function

$$X\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}\,,\quad x\longmapsto\int_{\mathbb{R}_{x,q}}\left|\det(M^\phi_x+2s\mathcal{L}_x)\right|ds \tag{2.17}$$

is well-defined. Since M^ϕ_x and $\mathcal{L}_x$ are continuous functions of $x\in X$, we conclude that the function (2.17) is continuous.

The following is well-known (see Theorem 1.6 in Hsiao-Marinescu [10])

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that condition $Y(q)$ holds at each point of X. Then for any sequence $\nu_k>0$ with $\nu_k\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$, there is a constant $C_0>0$ independent of k, such that*

$$k^{-n}\mathit{\Pi}^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}(x)\leqslant C_0 \tag{2.18}$$

for all $x\in X$. Moreover, there is a sequence $\mu_k>0$, $\mu_k\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$, such that for any sequence $\nu_k>0$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\mu_k}{\nu_k}=0$ and $\nu_k\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\mathit{\Pi}^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}(x)=(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_{x,q}}\left|\det(M^\phi_x+2s\mathcal{L}_x)\right|ds, \tag{2.19}$$

for all $x\in X$.

We introduce some notations. For $p\in X$, we can choose a smooth orthonormal frame $e_1,\ldots,e_{n-1}$ of $T^{*0,1}X$ over a neighborhood U of p. We say that a multiindex $J=(j_1,\ldots,j_q)\in\{1,\ldots,n-1\}^q$ has length q and write $|J|=q$. We say that J is strictly increasing if $1\leqslant j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_q\leqslant n-1$. For $J=(j_1,\ldots,j_q)$ we define $e_J:=e_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{j_q}$. Then $\{e_J;\,|J|=q,\ J\text{ strictly increasing}\}$ is an orthonormal frame for $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X$ over U.

For $f\in\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$, we may write

$$f|_U=\sum_{|J|=q}{}' f_Je_J\,,\quad\text{with } f_J=\langle f|e_J\rangle\in C^\infty(U,L^k)\,,$$

where $\sum'$ means that the summation is performed only over strictly increasing multiindices. We call f_J the component of f along e_J. It will be clear from the context what frame is being used. For $q>0$, the *extremal function* $S^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}$ for the space $\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k)$ along the direction e_J is defined by

$$S^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}(y)=\sup_{\alpha\in\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k),\,\|\alpha\|_{h^{L^k}}=1}\left|\alpha_J(y)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}\ , \tag{2.20}$$

where α_J denotes the component of α along e_J. Let

$$A:\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)\to\Omega^{0,q}(X,L^k)$$

be a continuous operator. For $|J|=q$, J is strictly increasing, we define

$$(AS^{(q)}_{k,\leqslant\lambda,J}\overline{A})(y):=\sup_{\alpha\in\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k),\,\|\alpha\|_{h^{L^k}}=1}\left|(A\alpha)_J(y)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}\ , \tag{2.21}$$

where $(A\alpha)_J$ denotes the component of $A\alpha$ along e_J. Similarly, when $q=0$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}&S^{(0)}_{k,\leq\lambda}(y)=\sup_{\alpha\in\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k),\,\|\alpha\|_{h^{L^k}}=1}\left|\alpha(y)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}\ ,\\&(AS^{(0)}_{k,\leqslant\lambda}\overline{A})(y):=\sup_{\alpha\in\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\leq\lambda}(X,L^k),\,\|\alpha\|_{h^{L^k}}=1}\left|(A\alpha)(y)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

We need the following

Lemma 2.2. *Fix $\lambda \geq 0$. Let $A : \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k) \to \Omega^{0,q}(X, L^k)$ be a continuous operator. For every local orthonormal frame*

$$\{e_J(y); |J| = q, J \text{ strictly increasing}\}$$

of $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X$ over an open set $U \subset X$, we have when $q > 0$,

$$(AΠ^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda}\overline{A})(y) = {\sum_{|J|=q}}'(AS^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A})(y), \tag{2.23}$$

for every $y \in U$.

Similarly, when $q = 0$, we have

$$(AΠ^{(0)}_{k,\leq\lambda}\overline{A})(y) = (AS^{(0)}_{k,\leq\lambda}\overline{A})(y), \tag{2.24}$$

for every $y \in U$.

We remind that $AΠ^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda}\overline{A}$ is given by (2.14).

Proof. Let $(f_j)_{j=1,\ldots,d_k}$ be an orthonormal frame for the space $\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X, L^k)$. Let s be a local section of L on U, $|s|^2_{h^L} = e^{-\phi}$. On U, we write

$$\begin{aligned} &Af_j = s^k\widetilde{g}_j, \;\; \widetilde{g}_j \in \Omega^{0,q}(U), \;\; j = 1, \ldots, d_k, \\ &\widetilde{g}_j = {\sum_{|J|=q}}'\widetilde{g}_{j,J}e_J, \;\; j = 1, \ldots, d_k. \end{aligned}$$

On U we write

$$(AΠ^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda}\overline{A})(y) = {\sum_{|J|=q}}'(AΠ^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A})(y), \tag{2.25}$$

where

$$(AΠ^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A})(y) := e^{-\phi(y)}\sum_j |\widetilde{g}_{j,J}(y)|^2 .$$

It is easy to see that $(AΠ^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A})(y)$ is independent of the choice of the orthonormal frame $(f_j)_{j=1,\ldots,d_k}$. Take $\alpha \in \mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X, L^k)$ of unit norm. Since α is contained in an orthonormal base, obviously $|(A\alpha)_J(y)|^2_{h^{L^k}} \leqslant (AΠ^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A})(y)$, where $(A\alpha)_J$ denotes the component of $A\alpha$ along e_J. Thus,

$$(AS^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A})(y) \leqslant (AΠ^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A})(y) , \quad \text{for all strictly increasing } J, \ |J| = q. \tag{2.26}$$

Fix a point $p \in U$ and a strictly increasing multiindex J with $|J| = q$. We may assume that $\sum_{j=1}^{d_k} |\widetilde{g}_{j,J}(p)|^2 \neq 0$. Put

$$u(y) = \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{d_k} |\widetilde{g}_{j,J}(p)|^2\Big)^{-1/2} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{N} \overline{\widetilde{g}_{j,J}(p)}f_j(y) .$$

We can easily check that $u \in \mathscr{H}^q_{b,\leq\lambda}(X, L^k)$ and $\|u\|_{h^{L^k}} = 1$. Hence, $|(Au)_J(p)|^2_{h^{L^k}} \leqslant (AS^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A})(p)$, therefore

$$(AΠ^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A})(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} e^{-\phi(p)} |\widetilde{g}_{j,J}(p)|^2 = |(Au)_J(p)|^2_{h^{L^k}} \leqslant (AS^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A})(p) .$$

From this and (2.26), we conclude that $AΠ^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A} = AS^{(q)}_{k,\leq\lambda,J}\overline{A}$ for all strictly increasing multiindices J with $|J| = q$. Combining this with (2.25), (2.23) follows.

The proof of (2.24) is the same. The lemma follows. □

3. CANONICAL COORDINATES OF HEISENBERG MANIFOLDS

In this work, we need the following beautiful result due to Baouendi-Rothschild-Treves [2, section1]

Theorem 3.1. *We recall that we work with the assumption that* $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ *is a Heisenberg manifold and we fix a rigid global real vector field* T. *For every point* $x_0 \in X$, *there exists local coordinates* $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}) = (z, \theta) = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}, \theta)$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, $\theta = x_{2n-1}$, *defined in some small neighborhood* U *of* x_0 *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &T = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}, \\ &Z_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z_j}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n-1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $Z_j(x)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, *form a basis of* $T^{1,0}_xX$, *for each* $x \in U$, *and* $\varphi(z) \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$ *independent of* θ.

Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1})$ be local coordinates of X defined in some open set in X. In this paper, when we write $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}) = (z, \theta)$ we mean that $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1})$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, $\theta = x_{2n-1}$. We call x canonical coordinates if x satisfies (3.1).

We also need

Proposition 3.2. *For a given point* $p \in X$, *we can find cannonical coordinates* $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}) = (z, \theta)$ *and local section* s, $|s|^2_{h^L} = e^{-\phi}$, *defined in some small neighborhood* D *of* p *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &x(p) = 0, \\ &Z_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + O(|z|^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n-1, \\ &\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \ldots, \tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} \textit{ is an orthonormal frame for } T^{1,0}_pX, \\ &\phi(z, \theta) = \beta\theta + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_jz_t + O(|z|\,|\theta|) + O(|\theta|^2) + O(|(z, \theta)|^3), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $Z_1(x), \ldots, Z_{n-1}(x)$ *form a basis of* $T^{1,0}_xX$ *varying smoothly with* x *in a neighborhood of* p, $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}$ *are the eigenvalues of* $\mathcal{L}_p$ *with respect to* $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu_{j,t} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu_{j,t} = \overline{\mu_{t,j}}$, $j, t = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

Proof. Fix $p \in X$. Let $\widetilde{x} = (\widetilde{x}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{x}_{2n-1}) = (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\theta}) = (\widetilde{z}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{z}_{n-1}, \widetilde{\theta})$, $\widetilde{z}_j = \widetilde{x}_{2j-1} + i\widetilde{x}_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, $\widetilde{\theta} = \widetilde{x}_{2n-1}$ be canonical coordinates of X defined in some small neighborhood D of p. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &T = \frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\theta}}, \\ &Z_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{z}_j} + i\frac{\partial\widetilde{\varphi}}{\partial\widetilde{z}_j}(\widetilde{z})\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\theta}}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n-1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $Z_j(\widetilde{x})$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, form a basis of $T^{1,0}_{\widetilde{x}}X$, for each $\widetilde{x} \in D$, and $\widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{z}) \in C^\infty(D, \mathbb{R})$ independent of $\widetilde{\theta}$. It is easy to see that we can take $\widetilde{x}$ so that $\widetilde{x}(p) = 0$. Near p, we write

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{z}) = a + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(\alpha_j\widetilde{z}_j + \overline{\alpha}_j\overline{\widetilde{z}_j}) + O(|\widetilde{z}|^2), \tag{3.4}$$

where $a\in\mathbb{C}$, $\alpha_j\in\mathbb{C}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. Let $\hat z=\widetilde z$, $\hat\theta=\widetilde\theta-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i\alpha_j\widetilde z_j-i\overline\alpha_j\overline{\widetilde z_j})$. Then, $(\hat z,\hat\theta)$ form canocical coordinates of X near p and we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat\theta}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde\theta},\\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat z_j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde z_j}+i\alpha_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde\theta},\ \ j=1,\ldots,n-1.\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

From (3.5), (3.4) and (3.3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&T=\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat\theta},\\ &Z_j=\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat z_j}+i\frac{\partial\hat\varphi}{\partial\hat z_j}(\hat z)\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat\theta},\ \ j=1,\ldots,n-1,\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\hat\varphi(\hat z)=\widetilde\varphi(\hat z)-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(\alpha_j\hat z_j+\overline\alpha_j\overline{\hat z_j})=a+O(|\hat z|^2).$$

Thus, $\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat z_1},\ldots,\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat z_{n-1}}$ is a basis of $T^{1,0}_pX$. By taking some linear transformation, we can take $\hat z$ so that $\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat z_j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, is an orthonormal frame for $T^{1,0}_pX$ and the Levi form is diagonal at p with respect to $\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat z_j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. We write

$$\hat\varphi(\hat z)=\alpha+\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}(\beta_{j,t}\hat z_j\hat z_t+\overline\beta_{j,t}\overline{\hat z_j}\,\overline{\hat z_t})+\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\gamma_{j,t}\overline{\hat z_j}\hat z_t+O(|\hat z|^3),\tag{3.7}$$

where $\beta_{j,t}\in\mathbb{C}$, $\gamma_{j,t}\in\mathbb{C}$, $\gamma_{j,t}=\overline{\gamma_{t,j}}$, $j,t=1,\ldots,n-1$. Since the Levi form is diagonal at p with respect to $\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat z_j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, we can check that

$$\gamma_{j,t}=\lambda_j\delta_{j,t},\ \ j,t=1,\ldots,n-1,\tag{3.8}$$

where $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{n-1}$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}_p$ with respect to $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$. Let $z=\hat z$, $\theta=\hat\theta-\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}i(\beta_{j,t}\hat z_j\hat z_t-\overline\beta_{j,t}\overline{\hat z_j}\,\overline{\hat z_t})$. Then, (z,θ) form canonical coordinates of X near p and we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat\theta},\\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat z_j}+i\sum_{t=1}^{n-1}\beta_{j,t}\hat z_t\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat\theta},\ \ j=1,\ldots,n-1.\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

From (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}&T=\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta},\\ &Z_j=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}+i\lambda_j\overline z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+O(|z|^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta},\ \ j=1,\ldots,n-1.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, is an orthonormal frame of $T^{1,0}_pX$, we conclude that $x=(z,\theta)$ satisfies the first three properties in (3.2).

Let $\hat s$ be a local section defined in some neighborhood of p, $|\hat s|^2_{h^L}=e^{-\hat\phi}$. Near p, we write

$$\hat\phi(z,\theta)=c+\beta\theta+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(a_jz_j+\overline a_j\overline z_j)+O(|(z,\theta)|^2),\tag{3.10}$$

where $c\in\mathbb{R}$, $\beta\in\mathbb{R}$ and $a_j\in\mathbb{C}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. Let

$$g(z)=e^{\frac{c}{2}}(1+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}a_jz_j). \tag{3.11}$$

Then, $g(z)$ is a rigid CR function. We may replace $\hat{s}$ by $g\hat{s}:=\widetilde{s}$. We have

$$\left|\widetilde{s}\right|^2_{h^L}=e^{-\widetilde{\phi}}=\left|g\right|^2e^{-\hat{\phi}}=e^{2\log\left|g\right|-\hat{\phi}}. \tag{3.12}$$

From (3.11), we can check that

$$2\log\left|g\right|=c+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(a_jz_j+\overline{a}_j\overline{z}_j)+O(\left|z\right|^2).$$

Combining this with (3.12) and (3.10), we conclude that

$$\widetilde{\phi}(z,\theta)=\beta\theta+O(\left|(z,\theta)\right|^2).$$

Near p, we write

$$\begin{split}\widetilde{\phi}(z,\theta)=\beta\theta+\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}(c_{j,t}z_jz_t+\overline{c_{j,t}}\,\overline{z}_j\overline{z}_t)+\sum_{j,t=1}^{n}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_jz_t\\+O(\left|z\right|\left|\theta\right|)+O(\left|\theta\right|^2)+O(\left|(z,\theta)\right|^3),\end{split} \tag{3.13}$$

where $c_{j,t}\in\mathbb{C}$, $\mu_{j,t}\in\mathbb{C}$, $\mu_{j,t}=\overline{\mu_{t,j}}$, $j,t=1,\ldots,n-1$. Let

$$g_1(z)=1+\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}c_{j,t}z_jz_t. \tag{3.14}$$

Then, $g_1(z)$ is a rigid CR function. We may replace $\widetilde{s}$ by $g_1\widetilde{s}:=s$. We have

$$\left|s\right|^2_{h^L}=e^{-\phi}=\left|g_1\right|^2e^{-\widetilde{\phi}}=e^{2\log\left|g_1\right|-\widetilde{\phi}}. \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.14), we can check that

$$2\log\left|g_1\right|=\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}(c_{j,t}z_jz_t+\overline{c_{j,t}}\,\overline{z}_j\overline{z}_t)+O(\left|z\right|^3).$$

Combining this with (3.15) and (3.13), we conclude that

$$\phi(z,\theta)=\beta\theta+\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_jz_t+O(\left|z\right|\left|\theta\right|)+O(\left|\theta\right|^2)+O(\left|(z,\theta)\right|^3).$$

The proposition follows. ☐

Proposition 3.3. *We assume that X is a generalized torus Heisenberg manifold and L is an admissible Heisenberg line bundle over X (see Definition 1.14 and Definition 1.15). Let ϕ and ϕ_1 be as in the discussion after Definition 1.15. If M^{ϕ}_x is positive on X, then $M^{\phi_1}_x$ is positive on X.*

Proof. Let $\{W_1\subset W_1',\ldots,W_N\subset W_N'\}$ be open sets of X such that $X=\bigcup_{j=1}^{N}W_j$ and there exist canonical coordinates on W_j', for each j and there is a constant $\epsilon_0>0$ such that for each $x\in X$, $\Phi^t(x)$ is well-defined, $\forall\left|t\right|\leq\epsilon_0$, and $\{\Phi^t(x);\,x\in W_j,\left|t\right|\leq\epsilon_0\}\subset W_j'$, for each j, where $\Phi^t(x)$ is the T-flow. Fix $t_0\in[-\epsilon_0,\epsilon_0]$. Put $\widetilde{\phi}(x)=\phi(\Phi^{t_0}x)$. It is obviously that $\widetilde{\phi}(x)$ also define a Hermitian fiber metric on L. By using canonical coordinates (3.1), we can check that

$$d(\overline{\partial}_b\widetilde{\phi}-\partial_b\widetilde{\phi})(x)=d(\overline{\partial}_b\phi-\partial\phi)(\Phi^{t_0}x),\quad\forall x\in X.$$

Thus,

$$M_x^{\widetilde{\phi}}=M^{\phi}_{\Phi^{t_0}(x)},\ \ \forall x\in X.$$

Similarly, fix $t_1\in[-\epsilon_0,\epsilon_0]$ and put $\hat{\phi}(x)=\widetilde{\phi}(\Phi^{t_1}x)=\phi(\Phi^{t_0+t_1}x)$. We have

$$M_x^{\hat{\phi}}=M^{\widetilde{\phi}}_{\Phi^{t_1}(x)}=M^{\phi}_{\Phi^{t_0+t_1}(x)},\ \ \forall x\in X.$$

Continuing in this way, we obtain for any $t\in[0,\gamma_0]$, $M_x^{\phi(\Phi^t(x))}=M^{\phi}_{\Phi^t(x)}$, $\forall x\in X$, where γ_0 is as in Definition 1.14. Thus,

$$M_x^{\phi_1}=\frac{1}{\gamma_0}\int_0^{\gamma_0}M^{\phi}_{\Phi^t(x)}dt,\ \ \forall x\in X.$$

The proposition follows. □

4. THE SCALING TECHNIQUE

In this section we modify the scaling technique developed in [10] in order to prove (1.15), (1.16) and (1.17).

Fix a point $p\in X$. Let $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n-1})=(z,\theta)$ be canonical coordinates of X defined in some small neighborhood D of p and let s be a local section of L on D, $|s|^2_{h^L}=e^{-\phi}$. We take x and s so that

$$\begin{aligned}&x(p)=0,\\&Z_j=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}+i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+O(|z|^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta},\ \ j=1,\ldots,n-1,\\&\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_1},\ldots,\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}}\text{ is an orthonormal frame for }T^{1,0}_pX,\\&\phi(z,\theta)=\beta\theta+\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_jz_t+O(|z|\,|\theta|)+O(|\theta|^2)+O(|(z,\theta)|^3),\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

where $Z_1(x),\ldots,Z_{n-1}(x)$ form a basis of $T^{1,0}_xX$ varying smoothly with x in a neighborhood of p, $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{n-1}$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}_p$ with respect to $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$, $\beta\in\mathbb{R}$, $\mu_{j,k}\in\mathbb{C}$, $\mu_{j,t}=\overline{\mu_{t,j}}$, $j,t=1,\ldots,n-1$. By Proposition 3.2, this is always possible. Fix $q\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$. In this section, we work on $(0,q)$ forms and we work with this local coordinates $x=(z,\theta)$.

Let $(\ |\ )_{k\phi}$ be the inner product on the space $\Omega^{0,q}_0(D)$ defined as follows:

$$(f\mid g)_{k\phi}=\int_D\langle f|g\rangle e^{-k\phi}dv_X,$$

where $f,g\in\Omega^{0,q}_0(D)$. Let $\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_b:\Omega^{0,q+1}(D)\rightarrow\Omega^{0,q}(D)$ be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_b$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_{k\phi}$. Put

$$\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi}=\overline{\partial}_b\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_b+\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_b\overline{\partial}_b:\Omega^{0,q}(D)\rightarrow\Omega^{0,q}(D)\,.$$

Let $u\in\Omega^{0,q}(D,L^k)$. Then there exists $\widetilde{u}\in\Omega^{0,q}(D)$ such that $u=s^k\widetilde{u}$ and we have

$$\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}u=s^k\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi}\widetilde{u}.\tag{4.2}$$

Let $U_1(z,\theta),\ldots,U_{n-1}(z,\theta)$ be an orthonormal frame of $T^{1,0}_{(z,\theta)}X$ varying smoothly with (z,θ) in a neighborhood of p. We take $U_1,\ldots,U_{n-1}$ so that $U_j(0,0)=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. Put

$$U_j(z,\theta)=\sum_{t=1}^{n-1}a_{j,t}(z,\theta)Z_t,\ \ j=1,\ldots,n-1,\tag{4.3}$$

where $a_{j,t}\in C^\infty$, $j,t=1,\ldots,n-1$, $Z_1,\ldots,Z_{n-1}$ are as in (4.1). Then, we have

$$a_{j,t}(z,\theta)=\delta_{j,t}+O(|(z,\theta)|),\ \ j,t=1,\ldots,n-1. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $(e_j(z,\theta))_{j=1,\ldots,n-1}$ denote the basis of $T^{*0,1}_{(z,\theta)}X$, dual to $(\overline{U}_j(z,\theta))_{j=1,\ldots,n-1}$. If $w\in T^{*0,1}_zX$, let $(w\wedge)^*:\Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*_zX\rightarrow\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_zX$, $q\geqslant 0$, be the adjoint of the left exterior multiplication $w\wedge:\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_zX\rightarrow\Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*_zX$, $u\mapsto w\wedge u$:

$$\langle w\wedge u|v\rangle=\langle u|(w\wedge)^*v\rangle\,, \tag{4.5}$$

for all $u\in\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_zX$, $v\in\Lambda^{0,q+1}T^*_zX$. Notice that $(w\wedge)^*$ depends $\mathbb{C}$-anti-linearly on w. It is easy to see that

$$\overline{\partial}_b=\sum^{n-1}_{j=1}e_j\wedge\overline{U}_j+\sum^{n-1}_{j=1}(\overline{\partial}_be_j)\wedge(e_j\wedge)^* \tag{4.6}$$

and correspondingly

$$\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_b=\sum^{n-1}_{j=1}(e_j\wedge)^*\overline{U}^{*,k\phi}_j+\sum^{n-1}_{j=1}e_j\wedge(\overline{\partial}_be_j\wedge)^*, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\overline{U}^{*,k\phi}_j$ is the formal adjoint of $\overline{U}_j$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_{k\phi}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. We can check that for $j=1,\ldots,n-1$,

$$\overline{U}^{*,k\phi}_j=-U_j+k(U_j\phi)+s_j(z,\theta), \tag{4.8}$$

where $s_j\in C^\infty(D)$, s_j is independent of k, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$.

For $r>0$, let $D_r=\{x=(z,\theta)\in\mathbb{R}^{2n-1};\,|x_j|<r,\ j=1,\ldots,2n-1\}$. Let F_k be the scaling map: $F_k(z,\theta)=(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k})$. From now on, we assume that k is large enough so that $F_k(D_{\log k})\subset D$. We define the scaled bundle $F^*_k\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X$ on $D_{\log k}$ to be the bundle whose fiber at $(z,\theta)\in D_{\log k}$ is

$$F^*_k\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_{(z,\theta)}X:=\Big\{\sum\nolimits'_{|J|=q}a_Je_J(\tfrac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\tfrac{\theta}{k});\,a_J\in\mathbb{C},|J|=q\Big\}\,.$$

We take the Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{F^*_k}$ on $F^*_k\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X$ so that at each point $(z,\theta)\in D_{\log k}$,

$$\Big\{e_J\big(\tfrac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\,,\tfrac{\theta}{k}\big)\,;|J|=q,\ J\text{ strictly increasing}\Big\}\,,$$

is an orthonormal basis for $F^*_k\Lambda^{0,q}T^*_{(z,\theta)}X$. For $r>0$, let $F^*_k\Omega^{0,q}(D_r)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $F^*_k\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X$ over D_r. Let $F^*_k\Omega^{0,q}_0(D_r)$ be the subspace of $F^*_k\Omega^{0,q}(D_r)$ whose elements have compact support in D_r. Given $f\in\Omega^{0,q}(F_k(D_{\log k}))$ we write $f=\sum'_{|J|=q}f_Je_J$. We define the scaled form $F^*_kf\in F^*_k\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})$ by:

$$F^*_kf=\sum\nolimits'_{|J|=q}f_J\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\,,\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)e_J\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\,,\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\,. \tag{4.9}$$

Let P be a partial differential operator of order one on $F_k(D_{\log k})$ with C^∞ coefficients. We write $P=a(z,\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+\sum^{2n-2}_{j=1}a_j(z,\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, $a,a_j\in C^\infty(F_k(D_{\log k}))$, $j=1,\ldots,2n-2$. The partial diffferential operator $P_{(k)}$ on $D_{\log k}$ is given by

$$P_{(k)}=\sqrt{k}F^*_ka\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+\sum^{2n-2}_{j=1}F^*_ka_j\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}=\sqrt{k}a\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\,,\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+\sum^{2n-2}_{j=1}a_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\,,\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\,. \tag{4.10}$$

Let $f\in C^\infty(F_k(D_{\log k}))$. We can check that

$$P_{(k)}(F^*_kf)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F^*_k(Pf). \tag{4.11}$$

The scaled differential operator $\overline{\partial}_{b,(k)} : F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k}) \to F_k^*\Omega^{0,q+1}(D_{\log k})$ is given by (compare to the formula (4.6) for $\overline{\partial}_b$):

$$\begin{split}\overline{\partial}_{b,(k)} =&\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\,,\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge\overline{U}_{j(k)}\\&+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(\overline{\partial}_b e_j)\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\,,\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge\Big(e_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\,,\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge\Big)^*.\end{split}\tag{4.12}$$

From (4.6) and (4.11), we can check that if $f \in \Omega^{0,q}(F_k(D_{\log k}))$, then

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,(k)}F_k^*f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F_k^*(\overline{\partial}_b f).\tag{4.13}$$

Let $(\ |\ )_{kF_k^*\phi}$ be the inner product on the space $F_k^*\Omega_0^{0,q}(D_{\log k})$ defined as follows:

$$(f\ |\ g)_{kF_k^*\phi} = \int_{D_{\log k}}\langle f|g\rangle_{F_k^*}e^{-kF_k^*\phi}(F_k^*m)(z,\theta)dv(z)d\theta\,,$$

where $dv_X = mdv(z)d\theta$ is the volume form, $dv(z) = 2^{n-1}dx_1\cdots dx_{2n-2}$. Note that $m(0,0) = 1$. Let $\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_{b,(k)} : F_k^*\Omega^{0,q+1}(D_{\log k}) \to F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})$ be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_{b,(k)}$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_{kF_k^*\phi}$. Then, we can check that (compare the formula for $\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_b$, see (4.7) and (4.8))

$$\begin{split}\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_{b,(k)} =&\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big(e_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge\Big)^*\Big(-U_{j(k)}+\sqrt{k}F_k^*(U_j\phi)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F_k^*s_j\Big)\\&+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\,e_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\,,\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge\Big((\overline{\partial}_b e_j)\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}\,,\frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge\Big)^*,\end{split}\tag{4.14}$$

where $s_j \in C^\infty(D_{\log k})$, $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, are independent of k. We also have

$$\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_{b,(k)}F_k^*f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F_k^*(\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_b f),\quad f \in \Omega^{0,q+1}(F_k(D_{\log k}))\,.\tag{4.15}$$

We define now the *scaled Kohn-Laplacian*:

$$\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi,(k)} := \overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_{b,(k)}\overline{\partial}_{b,(k)} + \overline{\partial}_{b,(k)}\overline{\partial}^{*,k\phi}_{b,(k)} : F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k}) \to F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k}).\tag{4.16}$$

From (4.13) and (4.15), we see that if $f \in \Omega^{0,q}(F_k(D_{\log k}))$, then

$$(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi,(k)})F_k^*f = \frac{1}{k}F_k^*(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi}f).\tag{4.17}$$

From (4.3), (4.4) and (4.1), we can check that

$$\overline{U}_{j(k)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_j} - i\lambda_j z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \epsilon_k Z_{j,k}\,,\quad j = 1,\ldots,n-1,\tag{4.18}$$

on $D_{\log k}$, where ϵ_k is a sequence tending to zero with $k \to \infty$ and $Z_{j,k}$ is a first order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of $Z_{j,k}$ are uniformly bounded in k on $D_{\log k}$, $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$. Similarly, from (4.3), (4.4) and (4.1), it is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{split}&-U_{t(k)} + \sqrt{k}F_k^*(U_t\phi) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}F_k^*s_t\\&=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_t} - i\lambda_t\overline{z}_t\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + i\lambda_t\overline{z}_t\beta + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\,\overline{z}_j + \delta_k V_{t,k}\,,\quad t = 1,\ldots,n-1,\end{split}\tag{4.19}$$

on $D_{\log k}$, where δ_k is a sequence tending to zero with $k \to \infty$ and $V_{t,k}$ is a first order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of $V_{t,k}$ are uniformly bounded in k on $D_{\log k}$, $t = 1, \ldots, n-1$. From (4.19), (4.18) and (4.16), (4.14), (4.12), it is straightforward to obtain the following

Proposition 4.1. *We have that*

$$\begin{aligned}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi,(k)} = &\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big[\Big(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\beta + \sum_{t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{t,\,j}\,\overline{z}_t\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_j} - i\lambda_j z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big)\Big]\\ &+ \sum_{j,\,t=1}^{n-1} e_j\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge\Big(e_t\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\Big)\wedge\Big)^*\Big(\Big(\mu_{j,\,t} + i\lambda_j\delta_{j,\,t}\beta\Big) - 2i\lambda_j\delta_{j,\,t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big)\\ &+ \varepsilon_k P_k,\end{aligned}$$

on $D_{\log k}$, where ε_k is a sequence tending to zero with $k \to \infty$, P_k is a second order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of P_k are uniformly bounded in k on $D_{\log k}$.

Let $D \subset D_{\log k}$ be an open set and let $W^s_{kF^*_k\phi}(D, F^*_k\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X)$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, denote the Sobolev space of order s of sections of $F^*_k\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X$ over D with respect to the weight $e^{-kF^*_k\phi}$. The Sobolev norm on this space is given by

$$\|u\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,s,D} = \sideset{}{'}\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^{2n-1},\ |\alpha|\leqslant s\\ |J|=q}} \int_D \left|\partial^\alpha_x u_J\right|^2 e^{-kF^*_k\phi}(F^*_k m)(z,\theta)dv(z)d\theta, \tag{4.20}$$

where $u = \sum'_{|J|=q} u_J e_J\big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\big) \in W^s_{kF^*_k\phi}(D, F^*_k\Lambda^{0,q}T^*X)$ and m is the volume form. If $s = 0$, we write $\|\cdot\|_{kF^*_k\phi,D}$ to denote $\|\cdot\|_{kF^*_k\phi,0,D}$. The following is well-known (see Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.6 in [10])

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that $Y(q)$ holds at each point of X. For every $r > 0$ with $D_{2r} \subset D_{\log k}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there are constants $C_{r,s} > 0$, $C_r > 0$, $C_{r,s}$ and C_r are independent of k, such that*

$$\|u\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,s+1,D_r} \leqslant C_{r,s}\Big(\|u\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,D_{2r}} + \big\|\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi,(k)}u\big\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,s,D_{2r}}\Big),\ u \in F^*_k\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k}) \tag{4.21}$$

and

(4.22)

$$\sup_{x\in D_r}|u(x)|^2 \leqslant C_r\Big(\|u\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,D_{2r}} + \sum_{m=1}^{n}\big\|(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi,(k)})^m u\big\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,D_{2r}}\Big),\ u \in F^*_k\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})\,.$$

We pause and introduce some notations. We identify $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ with the Heisenberg group $H_n := \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. We also write (z,θ) to denote the coordinates of H_n, $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{gathered}\Big\{U_{j,H_n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\,;\, j = 1, \ldots, n-1\Big\},\\ \Big\{U_{j,H_n}\,,\ \overline{U}_{j,H_n}\,,\ T = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\,;\, j = 1, \ldots, n-1\Big\}\end{gathered}$$

are orthonormal bases for the bundles $T^{1,0}H_n$ and $\mathbb{C}TH_n$ respectively. Then

$$\Big\{dz_j\,,\ d\overline{z}_j\,,\ \omega_0 = -d\theta + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j dz_j - i\lambda_j z_j d\overline{z}_j)\,;\, j = 1, \ldots, n-1\Big\}$$

is the basis of $\mathbb{C}T^*H_n$ which is dual to $\{U_{j,H_n}, \overline{U}_{j,H_n}, -T; j = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. We take the Hermitian metric $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle$ on $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*H_n$ such that $\{d\overline{z}_J;\ |J| = q,\ J$ strictly increasing$\}$

is an orthonormal basis of $\Lambda^{0,q}T^*H_n$. The Cauchy-Riemann operator $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}$ on H_n is given by

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d\overline{z}_j \wedge \overline{U}_{j,H_n} : \Omega^{0,q}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(H_n). \tag{4.23}$$

Put $\phi_0(z,\theta) = \beta\theta + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_j z_t \in C^\infty(H_n,\mathbb{R})$, where β and $\mu_{j,t}$, $j,t = 1,\ldots,n-1$, are as in (4.1). Note that

$$\sup_{(z,\theta)\in D_{\log k}} \left|kF_k^*\phi - \phi_0\right| \to 0, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \tag{4.24}$$

Let $(\ |\ )_{\phi_0}$ be the inner product on $\Omega_0^{0,q}(H_n)$ defined as follows:

$$(f \mid g)_{\phi_0} = \int_{H_n} \langle f|g\rangle e^{-\phi_0} dv(z)d\theta\,, \quad f,g \in \Omega_0^{0,q}(H_n)\,,$$

where $dv(z) = 2^{n-1}dx_1dx_2\cdots dx_{2n-2}$. Let $\overline{\partial}^{*,\phi_0}_{b,H_n} : \Omega^{0,q+1}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q}(H_n)$ be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}$ with respect to $(\ |\ )_{\phi_0}$. We have

$$\overline{\partial}^{*,\phi_0}_{b,H_n} = \sum_{t=1}^{n-1}(d\overline{z}_t\wedge)^*\,\overline{U}^{*,\phi_0}_{t,H_n} : \Omega^{0,q+1}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q}(H_n), \tag{4.25}$$

where

$$\overline{U}^{*,\phi_0}_{t,H_n} = -U_{t,H_n} + U_{t,H_n}\phi_0 = -U_{t,H_n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_j + i\lambda_t\overline{z}_t\beta\,. \tag{4.26}$$

The Kohn-Laplacian on H_n is given by

$$\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n} = \overline{\partial}_{b,H_n}\overline{\partial}^{*,\phi_0}_{b,H_n} + \overline{\partial}^{*,\phi_0}_{b,H_n}\overline{\partial}_{b,H_n} : \Omega^{0,q}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,q}(H_n)\,. \tag{4.27}$$

From (4.23), (4.25) and (4.26), we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\overline{U}^{*,\phi_0}_{j,H_n}\overline{U}_{j,H_n} + \sum_{j,\,t=1}^{n-1} d\overline{z}_j \wedge (d\overline{z}_t\wedge)^*\Big[\Big(\mu_{j,t} + i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\beta\Big) - 2i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big]\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big[\Big(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + i\lambda_j\overline{z}_j\beta + \sum_{t=1}^{n-1}\mu_{t,j}\overline{z}_t\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_j} - i\lambda_j z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big)\Big]\\
&\quad + \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} d\overline{z}_j \wedge (d\overline{z}_t\wedge)^*\Big[\Big(\mu_{j,t} + i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\beta\Big) - 2i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Now, we can prove

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that $Y(q)$ holds at each point of X. For each k, let $\alpha_k \in F_k^*\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k})$. We assume that $\|\alpha_k\|_{kF_k^*\phi,D_{\log k}} \leqslant 1$ for each k, and there is a sequence $\nu_k > 0$, $\nu_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, such that for each k,*

$$\left\|(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi,(k)})^m\alpha_k\right\|_{kF_k^*\phi,D_{\log k}} \leq \nu_k^m, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Identify α_k with a form on H_n by extending it with zero and write

$$\alpha_k = \sideset{}{'}\sum_{|J|=q}\alpha_{k,J}e_J\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\theta}{k}\Big).$$

Then there is a subsequence $\{\alpha_{k_j}\}$ of $\{\alpha_k\}$ such that for each strictly increasing multiindex J, $|J| = q$, $\alpha_{k_j,J}$ converges uniformly with all its derivatives on any compact *subset of H_n to a smooth function α_J. Furthermore, if we put $\alpha = \sum'_{|J|=q}\alpha_J d\overline{z}_J$, then $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}\alpha = 0$.*

Proof. From (4.21) and using induction, we get for any $r > 0$ and for every $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there is a constant $C_{r,s} > 0$ independent of k, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\alpha_k\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,s+1,D_r} &\leqslant C_{r,s}\Big(\|\alpha_k\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,D_{2r}} + \sum_{m=1}^{s+1}\Big\|(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi,(k)})^m\alpha_k\Big\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,D_{2r}}\Big)\\ &\leq C_{r,s}(1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\nu_k^m) \leq \widetilde{C}_{r,s}\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

for k large, where $\widetilde{C}_{r,s} > 0$ is independent of k. Fix a strictly increasing multiindex J, $|J| = q$, and $r > 0$. Combining (4.29) with Rellich 's compactness theorem [13, p. 281], we conclude that there is a subsequence of $\{\alpha_{k,J}\}$, which converges in all Sobolev spaces $W^s(D_r)$ for $s > 0$. From the Sobolev embedding theorem [13, p. 170], we see that the sequence converges in all $C^l(D_r)$, $l \geqslant 0$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, locally unformly. Choosing a diagonal sequence, with respect to a sequence of D_r exhausting H_n, we get a subsequence $\{\alpha_{k_j,J}\}$ of $\{\alpha_{k,J}\}$ such that $\alpha_{k_j,J}$ converges uniformly with all derivatives on any compact subset of H_n to a smooth function α_J.

Let J' be another strictly increasing multiindex, $|J'| = q$. We can repeat the procedure above and get a subsequce $\{\alpha_{k_{j_s},J'}\}$ of $\{\alpha_{k_j,J'}\}$ such that $\alpha_{k_{j_s},J'}$ converges uniformly with all derivatives on any compact subset of H_n to a smooth function $\alpha_{J'}$. Continuing in this way, we get the first statement of the proposition.

Now, we prove the second statement of the proposition. Let $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_q)$, $R = (r_1, \ldots, r_q)$ be multiindices, $|P| = |R| = q$. Define

$$\varepsilon^P_R = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } \{p_1,\ldots,p_q\} \neq \{r_1,\ldots,r_q\},\\ \text{the sign of permutation} \begin{pmatrix} P\\ R\end{pmatrix}, \text{ if } \{p_1,\ldots,p_q\} = \{r_1,\ldots,r_q\}.\end{cases}$$

For $j, t = 1, \ldots, n-1$, define

$$\sigma^{jtP}_R = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } d\overline{z}_j \wedge (d\overline{z}_t\wedge)^*(d\overline{z}^P) = 0,\\ \varepsilon^Q_R, \text{ if } d\overline{z}_j \wedge (d\overline{z}_t\wedge)^*(d\overline{z}^P) = d\overline{z}^Q,\ |Q| = q.\end{cases}$$

We may assume that $\alpha_{k,J}$ converges uniformly with all derivatives on any compact subset of H_n to a smooth function α_J, for all strictly increasing J, $|J| = q$. As (4.29), we have for any $r > 0$ and for every $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there is a constant $C_{r,s} > 0$ independent of k, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\Box^{(q)}_{k,k\phi,(k)}\alpha_k\Big\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,s+1,D_r} &\leqslant C_{r,s}\Big(\Big\|\Box^{(q)}_{k,k\phi,(k)}\alpha_k\Big\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,D_{2r}} + \sum_{m=1}^{s+1}\Big\|(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi,(k)})^{m+1}\alpha_k\Big\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,D_{2r}}\Big)\\ &\leq C_{r,s}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\nu_k^m \to 0 \ \text{ as } k\to\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Put

$$\beta_k := \Box^{(q)}_{k,k\phi,(k)}\alpha_k = \sum_{|J|=q}{}'\beta_{k,J}e_J(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k}) \in F^*_k\Omega^{0,q}(D_{\log k}).$$

Combining (4.30) with Sobolev embedding theorem [13, p. 170], we conclude that

(4.31)

$\beta_{k,J}$ converges uniformly with all derivatives on any compact subset of H_n to zero, for all strictly increasing J, $|J| = q$.

From the explicit formula of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi,(k)}$ (see Proposition 4.1), it is not difficult to see that for all strictly increasing J, $|J|=q$, we have

$$\begin{split}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\overline{U}^{*,\phi_0}_{j,H_n}\overline{U}_{j,H_n}\alpha_{k,J}=-\sideset{}{'}\sum_{\substack{|P|=q,\\ 1\leqslant j,t\leqslant n-1}}\sigma^{jtP}_J\Big[\Big(\mu_{j,t}+i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\beta\Big)-2i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big]\alpha_{k,P}\\ +\epsilon_kP_{k,J}\alpha_k+\beta_{k,J}\end{split}\tag{4.32}$$

on $D_{\log k}$, where ϵ_k is a sequence tending to zero with $k\to\infty$, $P_{k,J}$ is a second order differential operator and all the derivatives of the coefficients of $P_{k,J}$ are uniformly bounded in k on $D_{\log k}$ and $\beta_{k,J}$ is as in (4.31). By letting $k\to\infty$ in (4.32) we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\overline{U}^{*,\phi_0}_{j,H_n}\overline{U}_{j,H_n}\alpha_J=-\sideset{}{'}\sum_{\substack{|P|=q,\\ 1\leqslant j,t\leqslant n-1}}\sigma^{jtP}_J\Big[\Big(\mu_{j,t}+i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\beta\Big)-2i\lambda_j\delta_{j,t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\Big]\alpha_P\tag{4.33}$$

on H_n, for all strictly increasing J, $|J|=q$. From this and the explicit formula of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}$ (see (4.28)), we conclude that $\Box^{(q)}_{b,H_n}\alpha=0$. The proposition follows. $\Box$

5. THE OPERATORS $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$ AND $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}$

From now on, we assume that (L,h^L) is a semi-positive rigid Heisenberg line bundle over X, positive at some point of X and conditions $Y(0)$ and $Y(1)$ hold at each point of X.

Take $\delta_0>0$ be a small constant so that

$$M^\phi_x+2s\mathcal{L}_x\geq0,\ \ \forall\,|s|\leq\delta_0,\ \ \forall x\in X.\tag{5.1}$$

Take $\psi(\eta)\in C^\infty_0(]-\delta_0,\delta_0[,\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ so that $\psi(\eta)=1$ if $-\frac{\delta_0}{2}\leq\eta\leq\frac{\delta_0}{2}$. Let $\hat{\psi}(t)=\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)d\eta$ be the Fourier transform of ψ. Put

$$C_0:=\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}t^2\left|\hat{\psi}(t)\right|.\tag{5.2}$$

Let $E>0$ be a small constant so that

$$\begin{split}\sqrt{\int_XE^2dv_X(x)}\sqrt{2(2\pi)^{-n}\int_X\Big(\int\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x)}\\ \leq\frac{(2\pi)^{1-n}}{4}\int_X\Big(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x).\end{split}\tag{5.3}$$

Fix $M>0$ be a large constant so that

$$\begin{split}\frac{2C_0}{M}(2\pi)^{-n}\int_X\Big(\int\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x)\\ <\frac{(2\pi)^{1-n}}{2}\int_X\Big(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x)\end{split}\tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\frac{2C_0}{M}\Big((2\pi)^{-n}\int\left|\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\right|\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,1}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}<\frac{E}{\sqrt{n-1}},\ \ \forall x\in X,\tag{5.5}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,1}}(\xi)=1$ if $\xi\in\mathbb{R}_{x,1}$, $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,1}}(\xi)=0$ if $\xi\notin\mathbb{R}_{x,1}$. Take $\chi(t)\in C^\infty_0(]-2,2[,\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ so that $0\leq\chi(t)\leq1$ and $\chi(t)=1$ if $-1\leq t\leq1$ and $\chi(-t)=\chi(t)$, for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Put

$$\chi_M(t):=\chi(\frac{t}{M}).\tag{5.6}$$

As before, let $\Phi^t(x)$ be the T-flow. The operator $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$ is a continuous operator $C^\infty(X,L^k)\to C^\infty(X,L^k)$ defined as follows. Let $u\in C^\infty(X,L^k)$. Let $D\Subset D'\Subset X$ be open sets of X and let s be a local section of L on D', $|s|^2_{h^L}=e^{-\phi}$. On D', we write $u=s^k\widetilde u$, $\widetilde u\in C^\infty(D')$. Then,

$$(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}u)(x):=s^ke^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(x)}\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))}\widetilde u(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))dtd\eta\ \text{ on } D. \tag{5.7}$$

We first notice that for k large, $\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x)$ is well-defined for all $t\in\operatorname{Supp}\chi_M$, every $x\in X$ and $\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x)\in D'$ for all $t\in\operatorname{Supp}\chi_M$, every $x\in D$. We may assume that $\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x)$ is well-defined for all $t\in\operatorname{Supp}\chi_M$, every $x\in X$ and $\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x)\in D'$ for all $t\in\operatorname{Supp}\chi_M$, every $x\in D$. Now we check that the definition above is independent of the choice of local sections. Let $\hat s$ be another local section of L on D', $|\hat s|^2_{h^L}=e^{-\hat\phi}$. Then, we have $\hat s=gs$ for some non-zero rigid CR function g. We can check that

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat\phi=\phi-2\log|g|\,,\\&e^{-\frac{k}{2}\hat\phi}=e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi}\,|g|^k\,.\end{aligned}\tag{5.8}$$

Let $u\in C^\infty(X,L^k)$. On D, we write $u=s^k\widetilde u=\hat s^k\hat u$. We have

$$\hat u=g^{-k}\widetilde u. \tag{5.9}$$

From (5.8) and (5.9), we can check that

$$e^{-\frac{k}{2}\hat\phi}\hat u=e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi}\,|g|^k\,g^{-k}\widetilde u. \tag{5.10}$$

Since $Tg=0$, we have $(|g|^k\,g^{-k})(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}x)=(|g|^k\,g^{-k})(x)$ for all $t\in\operatorname{Supp}\chi_M$, $x\in D$. From this observation and (5.10), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k}{2}\hat\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))}\hat u(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))dtd\eta\\&\quad=(|g|^k\,g^{-k})(x)\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))}\widetilde u(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))dtd\eta\ \text{ on } D.\end{aligned}\tag{5.11}$$

Furthermore, we can check that

$$\hat s^ke^{\frac{k}{2}\hat\phi}=|g|^{-k}\,g^ks^ke^{\frac{k}{2}\phi}.$$

Combining this with (5.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat s^ke^{\frac{k}{2}\hat\phi(x)}\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k}{2}\hat\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))}\hat u(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))dtd\eta\\&\quad=s^ke^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(x)}\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))}\widetilde u(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))dtd\eta\ \text{ on } D.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the definition of $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$ is well-defined.

We consider $(0,1)$ forms. The operator $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}$ is a continuous operator $\Omega^{0,1}(X,L^k)\to\Omega^{0,1}(X,L^k)$ defined as follows. Let D be an open set of X. We assume that there exist canonical coordinates x defined in some neighborhood W of $\overline D$ and L is trivial on W. Let $\psi(\eta)$ and χ_M be as in (5.7). For k large, we have

$$\Big\{\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x)\in W;\,\forall x\in D,t\in\operatorname{Supp}\chi_M\Big\}\,.$$

Let s be a local section of L on W, $|s|^2_{h^L}=e^{-\phi}$. Let $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n-1})=(z,\theta)$ be canonical coordinates on W. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}&T=\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta},\\ &Z_j=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}+i\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z_j}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta},\ \ j=1,\ldots,n-1,\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}$$

where $Z_j(x)$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, form a basis of $T^{1,0}_xX$, for each $x\in D$, and $\varphi(z)\in C^\infty(D,\mathbb{R})$ independent of θ. We can check that $d\overline{z}_j$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, is the basis of $T^{*0,1}X$, dual to $\overline{Z}_j$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. Let $u\in\Omega^{0,1}(X,L^k)$. On W, we write

$$u=s^k\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\widetilde{u}_j(x)d\overline{z}_j,\ \ \widetilde{u}_j\in C^\infty(D),\ j=1,\ldots,n-1.$$

Then,

$$(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}u)(x):=s^ke^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(x)}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Bigl(\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))}\widetilde{u}_j(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))dtd\eta\Bigr)d\overline{z}_j\ \text{ on } D.\tag{5.13}$$

As before, we can show that the definition (5.13) is independent of the choices of local sections. Now we check that the definition (5.13) is independent of the choice of canonical coordinates. Let $y=(y_1,\ldots,y_{2n-1})=(w,\gamma)$, $w_j=y_{2j-1}+iy_{2j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, $\gamma=y_{2n-1}$, be another canonicl coordinates on W. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}&T=\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma},\\ &\widetilde{Z}_j=\frac{\partial}{\partial w_j}+i\frac{\partial\widetilde{\varphi}}{\partial w_j}(w)\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma},\ \ j=1,\ldots,n-1,\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

where $\widetilde{Z}_j(y)$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, form a basis of $T^{1,0}_yX$, for each $y\in D$, and $\widetilde{\varphi}(w)\in C^\infty(D,\mathbb{R})$ independent of γ. From (5.14) and (5.12), it is not difficult to see that on W, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&w=(w_1,\ldots,w_{n-1})=(H_1(z),\ldots,H_{n-1}(z))=H(z),\ \ H_j(z)\in C^\infty,\ \ j=1,\ldots,n-1,\\ &\gamma=\theta+G(z),\ \ G(z)\in C^\infty,\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

where for each $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, $H_j(z)$ is holomorphic. From (5.15), we can check that

$$d\overline{w}_j=\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\overline{\Bigl(\frac{\partial H_j}{\partial z_l}\Bigr)}d\overline{z}_l,\ \ j=1,\ldots,n-1.\tag{5.16}$$

From this observation, we have for $u\in\Omega^{0,1}(X,L^k)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&u=s^k\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\widetilde{u}_j(x)d\overline{z}_j=s^k\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\hat{u}_j(y)d\overline{w}_j\ \text{ on } W,\\ &\widetilde{u}_l(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\hat{u}_j(H(z),\theta+G(z))\overline{\frac{\partial H_j}{\partial z_l}(z)},\ \ l=1,\ldots,n-1.\end{aligned}\tag{5.17}$$

On D, we have $\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x)=(z,\frac{t}{k}+\theta)$, $\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(y)=(w,\frac{t}{k}+\gamma)$ and $\frac{\partial H_j}{\partial z_l}(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(z))=\frac{\partial H_j}{\partial z_l}(z)$, $j,l=1,\ldots,n-1$, $t\in\operatorname{Supp}\chi_M$. From this observation and (5.17), (5.16), it is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned}&s^ke^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(x)}\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\Big(\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))}\widetilde{u}_l(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))dtd\eta\Big)d\overline{z}_l\\&=s^ke^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(y)}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big(\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(y))}\hat{u}_j(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(y))dtd\eta\Big)d\overline{w}_j.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the definition (5.13) is independent of the choice of canonical coordinates. The operator $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}$ is well-defined.

Now, we claim that

$$Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\overline{\partial}_{b,k}u=\overline{\partial}_{b,k}Q^{(0)}_{M,k}u,\ \ \forall u\in C^\infty(X,L^k). \tag{5.18}$$

We work with canonical coordinates $x=(z,\theta)$ as (5.12). For $u\in C^\infty(X,L^k)$, we can check that

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,k}u=s^k\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\overline{Z}_j\widetilde{u})d\overline{z}_j=s^k\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big(\frac{\partial\widetilde{u}}{\partial\overline{z}_j}-i\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\overline{z}_j}(z)\frac{\partial\widetilde{u}}{\partial\theta}\Big)d\overline{z}_j \tag{5.19}$$

on W, where $u=s^k\widetilde{u}$ on W. Combining (5.19) with (5.13), (5.7) and notice that $\frac{\partial\widetilde{u}}{\partial\theta}(\Phi^t(x))=\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\big(\widetilde{u}(\Phi^t(x))\big)$, it is easy to see that

(5.20)

$$\begin{aligned}&Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\overline{\partial}_{b,k}u-\overline{\partial}_{b,k}Q^{(0)}_{M,k}u\\&=-\frac{k}{2}s^ke^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(x)}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big(\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))}\overline{Z}_j\big(\phi(x)-\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))\big)\widetilde{u}(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))dtd\eta\Big)d\overline{z}_j.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\overline{\partial}_bT\phi=0$, we have $\overline{Z}_j\phi(x)=\overline{Z}_j\phi(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x))$, $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, $t\in\operatorname{Supp}\chi_M$. From this and (5.20), (5.18) follows.

6. THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF $(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)$

We will use the same notations as before. We recall that we work with the assumption that $Y(0)$ and $Y(1)$ hold at each point of X. We first need

Theorem 6.1. *For any sequence $\nu_k>0$ with $\nu_k\to0$ as $k\to\infty$, there is a constant $C>0$ independent of k, such that*

$$\Big|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(0)}_{M,k}})(x)\Big|\leqslant Ck^n \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\Big|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k})(x)\Big|\leqslant Ck^n, \tag{6.2}$$

for all $x\in X$, $k>0$. We remind that $(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)$ and $(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(0)}_{M,k}})(x)$ are given by (2.13) *and* (2.14) *respectively.*

Proof. Let $\nu_k>0$ be any sequence with $\nu_k\to0$ as $k\to\infty$. Let $f_j\in C^\infty(X,L^k)$, $j=1,\ldots,d_k$, be an orthonormal frame for $\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\leq k\nu_k}(X,L^k)$. From (2.24), we see that for each $x\in X$,

(6.3)

$$(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(0)}_{M,k}})(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{d_k}\Big|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f_j)(x)\Big|^2_{h^{L^k}}=\sup_{\alpha\in\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\leq k\nu_k}(X,L^k),\|\alpha\|_{h^{L^k}}=1}\Big|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\alpha)(x)\Big|^2_{h^{L^k}}.$$

In view of (2.18), we see that there is a constant $C>0$ independent of k such that

$$\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}(x)=\sum^{d_k}_{j=1}\left|f_j(x)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}\leq Ck^n,\ \ \forall x\in X. \tag{6.4}$$

For $\alpha\in\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\leq k\nu_k}(X,L^k)$, $\left\|\alpha\right\|_{h^{L^k}}=1$, we have

$$\left|\alpha(x)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}\leq\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}(x)\leq Ck^n,\ \ \forall x\in X, \tag{6.5}$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of k and α. From (6.5) and (5.7), it is easy to see that there is a constant $C_1>0$ independent of k such that

$$\left|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\alpha)(x)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}\leq C_1k^n,\ \ \forall x\in X,\ \ \forall\alpha\in\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\leq k\nu_k}(X,L^k),\left\|\alpha\right\|_{h^{L^k}}=1. \tag{6.6}$$

From (6.6) and (6.3), (6.1) follows.

We have

$$\begin{split}\left|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)\right|&=\left|\sum^{d_k}_{j=1}\langle(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f_j)(x)|f_j(x)\rangle_{h^{L^k}}\right|\\&\leq\Big(\sum^{d_k}_{j=1}\left|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f_j)(x)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sum^{d_k}_{j=1}\left|f_j(x)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{split} \tag{6.7}$$

From (6.7), (6.4), (6.3) and (6.1), (6.2) follows. $\Box$

Fix a point $p\in X$. Let $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n-1})=(z,\theta)$ be canonical coordinates of X defined in some small neighborhood D of p and let s be a local section of L on D, $\left|s\right|^2_{h^L}=e^{-\phi}$. We take x and s so that (4.1) hold. Until further notice, we work with the local coordinates x and the local section s and we will use the same notations as section 4. We identify D with some open set in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}$. Put

(6.8)
$$\begin{split}u(z,\theta)=(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{p,0}}\det(M^\phi_p+2s\mathcal{L}_p)ds\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\\\times\int e^{i\theta\xi+\frac{\beta\theta}{2}+(-\xi+\frac{i}{2}\beta)\sum^{n-1}_{j=1}\lambda_j\left|z_j\right|^2}\det(M^\phi_p+2\xi\mathcal{L}_p)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{p,0}}(\xi)d\xi\in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}).\end{split}$$

We remind that $\mathbb{R}_{p,0}$ is given by (2.15). Set

$$\alpha_k=k^{\frac{n}{2}}s^k\chi_1(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\log k}z,\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\log k}\theta)u(\sqrt{k}z,k\theta)\in C^\infty_0(D,L^k), \tag{6.9}$$

where $\chi_1\in C^\infty$, $0\leq\chi_1\leq1$,

$$\operatorname{Supp}\chi_1\subset\left\{(z,\theta)\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R};\ \left|z\right|\leq1,\left|\theta\right|\leq1\right\},$$

$\chi_1(z,\theta)=1$ if $\left|z\right|\leq\frac{1}{2}$, $\left|\theta\right|\leq\frac{1}{2}$. We notice that

$$\operatorname{Supp}\alpha_k\subset\left\{(z,\theta)\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R};\ \left|z\right|\leq\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}},\left|\theta\right|\leq\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{k}}\right\}.$$

Thus, for k large, $\operatorname{Supp}\alpha_k\Subset D$ and α_k is well-defined. The following is well-known (see section 5 in [10])

Proposition 6.2. *With the notations used above, we have*

$$\lim_{k\rightarrow\infty}k^{-n}\left|\alpha_k(0)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}=(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_{p,0}}\det(M^\phi_p+2s\mathcal{L}_p)ds, \tag{6.10}$$

$$\lim_{k\rightarrow\infty}\left\|\alpha_k\right\|_{h^{L^k}}=1, \tag{6.11}$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left\|(\frac{1}{k}\Box^{(0)}_{b,k}\alpha_k)^m\right\|_{h^{L^k}}=0,\quad\forall m\in\mathbb{N},\tag{6.12}$$

and there is a sequence $\gamma_k>0$, independent of the point p and tending to zero as $k\to\infty$, such that

$$(\frac{1}{k}\Box^{(0)}_{b,k}\alpha_k\mid\alpha_k)_{h^{L^k}}\leq\gamma_k,\quad\forall k>0.\tag{6.13}$$

We have the following

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\nu_k>0$ be any sequence with $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\gamma_k}{\nu_k}=0$ and $\nu_k\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$, where γ_k is as in (6.13). Let α_k be as in (6.9). Let*

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_k=\alpha^1_k+\alpha^2_k,\\&\alpha^1_k\in\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\leq k\nu_k}(X,L^k),\quad\alpha^2_k\in\mathscr{H}^0_{b,>k\nu_k}(X,L^k).\end{aligned}\tag{6.14}$$

Then,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left\|\alpha^1_k\right\|_{h^{L^k}}=1\tag{6.15}$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\left|\alpha^1_k(0)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}=(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_{p,0}}\det(M^\phi_p+2s\mathcal{L}_p)ds.\tag{6.16}$$

Moreover, on D, we put

$$\alpha^2_k=k^{\frac{n}{2}}s^k\beta^2_k,\quad\beta^2_k\in C^\infty(D).\tag{6.17}$$

Fix $r>0$. Then, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there is a $k_0>0$ such that for all $k\geq k_0$, we have $F_k(D_{2r})\subset D$ and

$$\left|\beta^2_k(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k})\right|\leq\varepsilon,\quad\forall(z,\theta)\in D_r.\tag{6.18}$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left|\beta^2_k(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k})\right|=0,\quad\forall(z,\theta)\in D.\tag{6.19}$$

Proof. From (2.9), we have

$$\left\|\alpha^2_k\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}}\leqslant\frac{1}{k\nu_k}\big(\Box^{(0)}_{b,k}\alpha^2_k\mid\alpha^2_k\big)_{h^{L^k}}\leqslant\frac{1}{k\nu_k}\big(\Box^{(0)}_{b,k}\alpha_k\mid\alpha_k\big)_{h^{L^k}}\leqslant\frac{\gamma_k}{\nu_k}\to 0,$$

as $k\to\infty$. Thus, $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|\alpha^2_k\|_{h^{L^k}}=0$. Since $\|\alpha_k\|_{h^{L^k}}\to 1$ as $k\to\infty$, (6.15) follows.

Now, we prove (6.18). As (6.17), on D, we write $\alpha^2_k=s^kk^{\frac{n}{2}}\beta^2_k$, $\beta^2_k\in C^\infty(D)$. From (4.22), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{(z,\theta)\in D_r}\left|F^*_k\beta^2_k(z,\theta)\right|^2&=\sup_{(z,\theta)\in D_r}\left|\beta^2_k(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k})\right|^2\\&\leqslant C_r\Big(\left\|F^*_k\beta^2_k\right\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,D_{2r}}+\sum^n_{m=1}\left\|(\Box^{(q)}_{k,k\phi,(k)})^mF^*_k\beta^2_k\right\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,D_{2r}}\Big),\end{aligned}\tag{6.20}$$

where $C_r>0$ is independent of k. Now, we have

$$\left\|F^*_k\beta^2_k\right\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,D_{2r}}\leqslant\left\|\alpha^2_k\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}}\to 0,\quad\text{as }k\to\infty.\tag{6.21}$$

Moreover, from (4.17), it is easy to can check that for all $m\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\|(\Box^{(q)}_{b,k\phi,(k)})^mF^*_k\beta^2_k\right\|^2_{kF^*_k\phi,D_{2r}}\leqslant\left\|(\tfrac{1}{k}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k})^m\alpha^2_k\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}}\leqslant\left\|(\tfrac{1}{k}\Box^{(q)}_{b,k})^m\alpha_k\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}}\to 0\quad\text{as }k\to\infty.\tag{6.22}$$

Here we used (6.12). Combining (6.20) with (6.21) and (6.22), (6.18) follows.

From (6.18), we deduce

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left|F_k^*\beta_k^2(0)\right|^2=\lim_{k\to\infty}\left|\beta_k^2(0)\right|^2=\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\left|\alpha_k^2(0)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}=0. \tag{6.23}$$

From this and (6.10), (6.16) follows. ☐

Now, we can prove

Theorem 6.4. *Let* $\delta_k=\min\{\mu_k,\gamma_k\}$*, where* μ_k *is as in Theorem 2.1 and* γ_k *is as in* (6.13)*. Let* $\nu_k>0$ *be any sequence with* $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\delta_k}{\nu_k}=0$ *and* $\nu_k\to0$ *as* $k\to\infty$*. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k})(x)\\&=(2\pi)^{-n}\int e^{it\xi}\hat{\psi}(t)\chi_M(t)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)dtd\xi\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

for all $x\in X$*, where* $\psi(\eta)$ *is as in the discussion after* (5.1) *and* $\chi_M(t)$ *is given by* (5.6)*,* $\hat{\psi}(t)=\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)d\eta$*. We remind that* $\operatorname{Supp}\psi\bigcap\mathbb{R}_{x,1}=\emptyset$*, for every* $x\in X$*.*

Proof. Let $\nu_k>0$ be any sequence with $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\delta_k}{\nu_k}=0$ and $\nu_k\to0$ as $k\to\infty$. Fix a point $p\in X$. Let $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n-1})=(z,\theta)$ be canonical coordinates of X defined in some small neighborhood D of p and let s be a local section of L on D, $\left|s\right|^2_{h^L}=e^{-\phi}$. As before we take x and s so that (4.1) hold and let $\alpha^1_k\in\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\leq k\nu_k}(X,L^k)$ be as in (6.14). We take

$$f^1_k:=\frac{\alpha^1_k}{\|\alpha^1_k\|_{h^{L^k}}},f^2_k,\ldots,f^{d_k}_k$$

be an orthonormal frame for $\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\leq k\nu_k}(X,L^k)$. From (6.15), (6.16) and (2.19), we conclude that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\left|f^1_k(0)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}=\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}(0)=(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_{p,0}}\det(M^\phi_p+2s\mathcal{L}_p)ds. \tag{6.25}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\sum^{d_k}_{j=2}\left|f^j_k(0)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}=0. \tag{6.26}$$

Now,

$$(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(0)=\langle(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^1_k)(0)|f^1_k(0)\rangle_{h^{L^k}}+\sum^{d_k}_{j=2}\langle(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^j_k)(0)|f^j_k(0)\rangle_{h^{L^k}}. \tag{6.27}$$

From (6.1) and (6.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\left|\sum^{d_k}_{j=2}\langle(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^j_k)(0)|f^j_k(0)\rangle_{h^{L^k}}\right|\\&\leq\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\sqrt{\sum^{d_k}_{j=2}\left|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^j_k)(0)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}}\sqrt{\sum^{d_k}_{j=2}\left|f^j_k(0)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}}\to0,\ \ \text{as } k\to\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

Combining (6.28) with (6.27), we conclude that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq\nu_k})(0)=\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\langle(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^1_k)(0)|f^1_k(0)\rangle_{h^{L^k}}. \tag{6.29}$$

Let α^2_k be as in (6.14). From (6.18) and the definition of $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$(see (5.7)) , it is not difficult to see that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\langle(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\alpha^2_k)(0)|\alpha^2_k(0)\rangle_{h^{L^k}}=0. \tag{6.30}$$

Combining (6.30) with (6.29) and (6.15), we deduce

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq\nu_k})(0)=\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\langle(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\alpha_k)(0)\,|\,\alpha_k(0)\rangle_{h^{L^k}},\tag{6.31}$$

where α_k is as in (6.9). On D, we put

$$Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\alpha_k=s^kq_k,\ \ q_k\in C^\infty(D).\tag{6.32}$$

By the definitions of $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$ and α_k(see (5.7) and (6.9)), we can check that

(6.33)

$$\begin{split}q_k(0)&=k^{\frac{n}{2}}(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{p,0}}\det(M^\phi_p+2s\mathcal{L}_p)ds\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\\&\quad\times\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi(0,\frac{t}{k})}\chi_1(0,\frac{t}{\sqrt{k}\log k})e^{it\xi+\frac{\beta}{2}t}\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{p,0}}(\xi)\det(M^\phi_p+2\xi\mathcal{L}_p)d\xi dtd\eta.\end{split}$$

We notice that $\frac{k}{2}\phi(0,\frac{t}{k})=\frac{\beta}{2}t+\epsilon_k(t)$, where $\epsilon_k(t)\to0$ as $k\to\infty$, uniformly on $\operatorname{Supp}\chi_M$ and $\chi_1(0,\frac{t}{\sqrt{k}\log k})\to1$ as $k\to\infty$, uniformly on $\operatorname{Supp}\chi_M$. Combining this observation with (6.33), (6.9) and (6.8), we can check that

$$\begin{split}&\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-n}\langle(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\alpha_k)(0)\,|\,\alpha_k(0)\rangle_{h^{L^k}}\\&=\lim_{k\to\infty}k^{-\frac{n}{2}}q_k(0)\overline{u(0,0)}e^{-k\phi(0)}\\&=(2\pi)^{-n}\int e^{-it\eta+it\xi}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{p,0}}(\xi)\det(M^\phi_p+2\xi\mathcal{L}_p)d\xi dtd\eta\\&=(2\pi)^{-n}\int e^{it\xi}\hat{\psi}(t)\chi_M(t)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{p,0}}(\xi)\det(M^\phi_p+2\xi\mathcal{L}_p)d\xi dt,\end{split}\tag{6.34}$$

where $\hat{\psi}(t):=\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)d\eta$, u is as in (6.8). From (6.34) and (6.31), (6.24) follows. We get Theorem 6.4. ☐

We need

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\delta_k>0$, $\delta_k\to0$, as $k\to\infty$, be as in Theorem 6.4 and let $\nu_k>0$ be any sequence with $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\delta_k}{\nu_k}=0$ and $\nu_k\to0$ as $k\to\infty$. Then there is a $k_0>0$ such that for all $k\geq k_0$,*

$$\begin{split}&\Big|\int_X(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)dv_X(x)\Big|\\&\quad\geq\frac{k^n}{2}(2\pi)^{1-n}\int_X\Big(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x).\end{split}\tag{6.35}$$

Proof. For each $x\in X$, put

$$C(x):=(2\pi)^{-n}\int e^{it\xi}\hat{\psi}(t)\chi_M(t)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi dt.\tag{6.36}$$

From (6.2), (6.24) and the Lebesgue dominated Theorem, we conclude that

$$\int_X(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)dv_X(x)=k^n\int_XC(x)dv_X(x)+o(k^n)$$

and hence

$$\Big|\int_X(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)dv_X(x)\Big|\geq k^n\Big|\int_XC(x)dv_X(x)\Big|+o(k^n).\tag{6.37}$$

We first claim that for each $x\in X$, $C(x)$ is real. We notice that $\overline{\hat{\psi}(t)}=\hat{\psi}(-t)$ and $\chi_M(t)=\chi_M(-t)$. From this observation, we can check that

$$\begin{split}\overline{C(x)}&=(2\pi)^{-n}\int e^{-it\xi}\overline{\hat{\psi}(t)}\chi_M(t)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi dt\\&=(2\pi)^{-n}\int e^{-it\xi}\hat{\psi}(-t)\chi_M(-t)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi dt\\&=(2\pi)^{-n}\int e^{it\xi}\hat{\psi}(t)\chi_M(t)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi dt=C(x).\end{split}$$

Thus, $C(x)$ is real.

Now, we claim that $\int_X C(x)dv_X(x)$ is positive and

$$\int_X C(x)dv_X(x)>\frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^{1-n}\int_X\Big(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x).\tag{6.38}$$

We have

$$\begin{split}C(x)&=(2\pi)^{-n}\int e^{it\xi}\hat{\psi}(t)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi dt\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\int e^{it\xi}\hat{\psi}(t)(\chi_M(t)-1)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi dt\\&=(2\pi)^{1-n}\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\\&\quad+(2\pi)^{-n}\int e^{it\xi}\hat{\psi}(t)(\chi_M(t)-1)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi dt.\end{split}\tag{6.39}$$

Here we used Fourier's inversion formula. Since $0\leq\chi_M\leq1$ and $\chi_M=1$ if $-M\leq t\leq M$, we have

$$\begin{split}&\Big|\int e^{it\xi}\hat{\psi}(t)(\chi_M(t)-1)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi dt\Big|\\&=\Big|\int_{|t|\geq M}e^{it\xi}\hat{\psi}(t)(\chi_M(t)-1)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi dt\Big|\\&\leq\int_{|t|\geq M}\Big|\hat{\psi}(t)\Big|dt\Big|\int\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big|\\&\leq\frac{2C_0}{M}\int\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi,\end{split}\tag{6.40}$$

where $C_0=\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}t^2\Big|\hat{\psi}(t)\Big|$. Combining (6.40) with (6.39), we get

$$\begin{split}C(x)\geq&(2\pi)^{1-n}\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\\&-\frac{2C_0}{M}(2\pi)^{-n}\int\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi.\end{split}$$

Combining this with (5.4), (6.38) follows.

From (6.38) and (6.37), we obtain (6.35). □

7. THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF $(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\mathit{\Pi}^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x)$

We will use the same notations as before. Fix $p\in X$. Let $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n-1})=(z,\theta)$ be canonical coordinates of X defined in some small neighborhood D of p and let s be a local section of L on D, $|s|^2_{h^L}=e^{-\phi}$. We take x and s so that (4.1) hold. Until further notice, we work with the local coordinates x and the local section s. We also write t to denote the coordinate θ. We identify D with some open set in

$H_n = \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\nu_k > 0$ be any sequence with $\nu_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. We are going to estimate $\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n}(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(p)$. For the convenience of the reader we recall some notations we used before. Let $e_j(z,\theta)$, $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, denote the basis of $T^{*(0,1)}X$, dual to $\overline{U}_j(z,\theta)$, $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, where U_j, $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, are as in (4.3). For $f \in \Omega^{0,1}(X,L^k)$, we write $f = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_j e_j$, $f_j \in C^\infty(X,L^k)$, $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$. We call f_j the component of f along e_j. As (2.21), for $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, we define

$$(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}S^{(1)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k,j}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(y) := \sup_{\alpha\in\mathscr{H}^1_{b,\leq k\nu_k}(X,L^k),\,\|\alpha\|_{h^{L^k}}=1}\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\alpha)_j(y)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}, \tag{7.1}$$

where $(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\alpha)_j$ denotes the component of $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\alpha$ along e_j. From (2.23), we know that

$$(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}S^{(1)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k,j}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(y),\quad \forall y \in D. \tag{7.2}$$

We consider H_n. Let $\psi(\eta)$ be as in the discussion after (5.1) and let $\chi_M(t)$ be as in (5.6). The operator $Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}$ is a continuous operator $\Omega^{0,1}(H_n) \to \Omega^{0,1}(H_n)$ defined as follows. Let $u \in \Omega^{0,1}(H_n)$. We write $u = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j d\overline{z}_j$, $u_j \in C^\infty(H_n)$, $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}u)(z,\theta) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Big(\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(t+\theta)}u_j(z,t+\theta)dtd\eta\Big)d\overline{z}_j\\ &:= \int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(t+\theta)}u(z,t+\theta)dtd\eta.\end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

We remind that β is as in (4.1). For $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, put (Compare (7.1))

$$\begin{aligned}&(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}S^{(1)}_{j,H_n}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}})(0)\\ &= \sup\left\{\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}\alpha)_j(0)\right|^2;\ \alpha \in \Omega^{0,1}(H_n), \Box^{(1)}_{b,H_n}\alpha = 0, \|\alpha\|_{\phi_0} = 1\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

where $(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}\alpha)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}\alpha)_j(x)d\overline{z}_j$, $(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}\alpha)_j \in C^\infty(H_n)$, $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, and

$$\|\alpha\|^2_{\phi_0} = \int |\alpha(z,\theta)|^2 e^{-\phi_0(z,\theta)}dv(z)d\theta,\quad dv(z) = 2^{n-1}dx_1dx_2\ldots dx_{2n-1}.$$

We recall that ϕ_0 is as in the discussion after (4.23). We first need

Theorem 7.1. *We have*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n}(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(0) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}S^{(1)}_{j,H_n}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}})(0).$$

Proof. Fix $j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n-1\}$. We claim that

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n}(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}S^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k,j}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(0) \leqslant (Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}S^{(1)}_{j,H_n}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}})(0). \tag{7.5}$$

The definition (7.1) of $(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}S^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k,j}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(0)$ yields a sequence $\alpha_{k_s} \in \mathscr{H}^1_{b,\leq k_s\nu_{k_s}}(X,L^{k_s})$, $k_1 < k_2 < \ldots$, such that $\|\alpha_{k_s}\|_{h^{L^{k_s}}} = 1$ and

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} k_s^{-n}\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,k_s}\alpha_{k_s})_j(0)\right|^2_{h^{L^{k_s}}} = \limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n}(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}S^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k,j}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(0)\,, \tag{7.6}$$

where $(Q^{(1)}_{M,k_s}\alpha_{k_s})_j$ is the component of $Q^{(1)}_{M,k_s}\alpha_{k_s}$ along e_j. On D, we write

$$\alpha_{k_s} = s^{k_s}\widetilde{\alpha}_{k_s},\quad \widetilde{\alpha}_{k_s} \in \Omega^{0,1}(D),$$

and on $D_{\log k_s}$, put

$$\gamma_{k_s}=k_s^{-\frac{n}{2}}F^*_{k_s}\widetilde{\alpha}_{k_s}\in F^*_{k_s}\Omega^{0,1}(D_{\log k_s}).$$

We recall that $F^*_{k_s}$ is the scaling map given by (4.9). It is not difficult to see that

$$\|\gamma_{k_s}\|_{k_sF^*_{k_s}\phi,D_{\log k_s}}\leqslant 1.$$

Moreover, from (4.17) and (4.2), it is straightforward to see that

$$\left\|(\Box^{(1)}_{b,k_s\phi,(k_s)})^m\gamma_{k_s}\right\|_{k_sF^*_{k_s}\phi,D_{\log k_s}}\leq\frac{1}{k_s^m}\left\|(\Box^{(1)}_{b,k_s})^m\alpha_{k_s}\right\|_{h^{L^{k_s}}}\leq\nu^m_{k_s},\quad\forall m\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Proposition 4.3 yields a subsequence $\left\{\gamma_{k_{s_u}}\right\}$ of $\{\gamma_{k_s}\}$ such that for each $t\in\{1,2,\ldots,n-1\}$, $\gamma_{k_{s_u},t}$ converges uniformly with all derivatives on any compact subset of H_n to a smooth function γ_t, where $\gamma_{k_{s_u},t}$ denotes the component of $\gamma_{k_{s_u}}$ along $e_t(\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}},\frac{\theta}{k})$. Set $\gamma=\sum^{n-1}_{t=1}\gamma_td\overline{z}_t$. Then we have $\Box^{(1)}_{b,H_n}\gamma=0$ and, by (4.24), $\|\gamma\|_{\phi_0}\leqslant 1$. Thus,

$$\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}\gamma)_j(0)\right|^2\leqslant\frac{\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}\gamma)_j(0)\right|^2}{\|\gamma\|^2_{\phi_0}}\leqslant(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}S^{(1)}_{j,H_n}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}})(0),\tag{7.7}$$

where

$$Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}\gamma=\sum^{n-1}_{t=1}(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}\gamma)_td\overline{z}_t,\quad(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}\gamma)_t\in C^\infty(H_n),\quad t=1,\ldots,n-1.$$

We claim that

$$\lim_{u\rightarrow\infty}k_{s_u}^{-n}\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,k_{s_u}}\alpha_{k_{s_u}})_j(0)\right|^2=\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}\gamma)_j(0)\right|^2.\tag{7.8}$$

We write

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{k_{s_u}}=\sum^{n-1}_{j=1}\widetilde{\alpha}_{k_{s_u},j}e_j=\sum^{n-1}_{j=1}\hat{\alpha}_{k_{s_u},j}d\overline{z}_j.$$

Since $e_t=d\overline{z}_t+O(|(z,\theta)|$, $t=1,\ldots,n-1$, we conclude that for all $t=1,\ldots,n-1$,

$$\lim_{u\rightarrow\infty}k_{s_u}^{-\frac{n}{2}}F^*_{k_{s_u}}\widetilde{\alpha}_{k_{s_u},t}=\lim_{u\rightarrow\infty}k_{s_u}^{-\frac{n}{2}}F^*_{k_{s_u}}\hat{\alpha}_{k_{s_u},t}=\gamma_t.\tag{7.9}$$

Moreover, from the definition of $Q^{(1)}_{M,k_{s_u}}$ (see (5.13)), it is easy to see that

$$\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,k_{s_u}}\alpha_{k_{s_u}})_j(0)\right|_{h^{L^k}}=\left|\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k_{s_u}}{2}(F^*_{k_{s_u}}\phi)(0,t)}F^*_{k_{s_u}}\hat{\alpha}_{k_{s_u},j}(0,t)dt\right|.\tag{7.10}$$

Combining (7.10) with (7.9), (7.3) and notice that $-\frac{k}{2}(F^*_k\phi)(0,t)\rightarrow-\frac{\beta}{2}t$, as $k\rightarrow\infty$, uniformly on $\operatorname{Supp}\chi_M$, (7.8) follows. The claim (7.5) follows from (7.6), (7.7) and (7.8). Finally, (7.5) and (7.2) imply the conclusion of the theorem. □

In order to estimate $\sum^{n-1}_{j=1}(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}S^{(1)}_{j,H_n}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}})(0)$, we need the some preparation. Put

$$\Phi_0=\sum^{n-1}_{j,t=1}\mu_{j,t}\overline{z}_jz_t,\tag{7.11}$$

where $\mu_{j,t}$, $j,t=1,\ldots,n-1$, are as in (4.1). Note that

$$\phi_0(z,\theta)=\Phi_0(z)+\beta\theta.$$

For $q=0,1,\ldots,n-1$, we denote by $L^2_{(0,q)}(H_n,\Phi_0)$ the completion of $\Omega^{0,q}_0(H_n)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi_0}$, where

$$\|u\|^2_{\Phi_0}=\int_{H_n}|u|^2e^{-\Phi_0}dv(z)d\theta\,,\quad u\in\Omega^{(0,q)}_0(H_n)\,.$$

Let $u(z,\theta)\in\Omega^{0,1}(H_n)$ with $\|u\|_{\phi_0}=1$ and $\Box^{(1)}_{b,H_n}u=0$. Put $v(z,\theta)=u(z,\theta)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}$. We have

$$\int_{H_n}|v(z,\theta)|^2e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)d\theta=1.$$

Choose $\chi(\theta)\in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ so that $\chi(\theta)=1$ when $|\theta|<1$ and $\chi(\theta)=0$ when $|\theta|>2$ and set $\chi_j(\theta)=\chi(\theta/j)$, $j\in\mathbb{N}$. Let

$$\hat{v}_j(z,\eta)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}v(z,\theta)\chi_j(\theta)e^{-i\theta\eta}d\theta\in\Omega^{0,1}(H_n),\ j=1,2,\ldots. \tag{7.12}$$

From Parseval's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{H_n}|\hat{v}_j(z,\eta)-\hat{v}_t(z,\eta)|^2e^{-\Phi_0(z)}d\eta dv(z)\\&=2\pi\int_{H_n}|v(z,\theta)|^2|\chi_j(\theta)-\chi_t(\theta)|^2e^{-\Phi_0(z)}d\theta dv(z)\to0,\ j,t\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is $\hat{v}(z,\eta)\in L^2_{(0,1)}(H_n,\Phi_0)$ such that $\hat{v}_j(z,\eta)\to\hat{v}(z,\eta)$ in $L^2_{(0,1)}(H_n,\Phi_0)$. We have

$$\int|\hat{v}(z,\eta)|^2e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)d\eta=2\pi. \tag{7.13}$$

We call $\hat{v}(z,\eta)$ the Fourier transform of $v(z,\theta)$ with respect to θ. Formally,

$$\hat{v}(z,\eta)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-i\theta\eta}v(z,\theta)d\theta. \tag{7.14}$$

The following theorem is one of the main technique results in [10] (see section 3 in [10], for the proof)

Theorem 7.2. *With the notations used above. Let $u(z,\theta)\in\Omega^{0,1}(H_n)$ with $\|u\|_{\phi_0}=1$, $\Box^{(1)}_{b,H_n}u=0$ and let $\hat{v}(z,\eta)\in L^2_{(0,1)}(H_n,\Phi_0)$ be the Fourier transform of $u(z,\theta)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\theta}$ with respect to θ(see the discussion before* (7.14)*). Then, for almost all $\eta\in\mathbb{R}$, we have $\hat{v}(z,\eta)$ is smooth with respect to z and $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}|\hat{v}(z,\eta)|^2e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)<\infty$ and*

$$\begin{aligned}&|\hat{v}(z,\eta)|^2\\&\leq(2\pi)^{-n+1}e^{\Phi_0(z)}\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{p,1}}(\eta)\left|\det(M^\phi_p+2\eta\mathcal{L}_p)\right|\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}|\hat{v}(w,\eta)|^2e^{-\Phi_0(w)}dv(w)\end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

for all $z\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$.

Now, we can prove

Proposition 7.3. *Let $u(z,\theta)\in\Omega^{0,1}(H_n)$ with $\|u\|_{\phi_0}=1$, $\Box^{(1)}_{b,H_n}u=0$. We have*

$$\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}u)(0)\right|^2\leq\frac{E^2}{n-1}, \tag{7.16}$$

where E is as in (5.3)*.*

Proof. Let $\varphi\in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{C}^{n-1},\mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}\varphi(z)dv(z)=1$, $\varphi\geqslant0$, $\varphi(z)=0$ if $|z|>1$. Put $g_m(z)=m^{2n-2}\varphi(mz)e^{\Phi_0(z)}$, $m=1,2,\ldots$. Then, $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}g_m(z)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)=1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}u)(0)&=\lim_{m\to\infty}\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}e^{-\Phi_0(z)}g_m(z)u(z,t)dtdv(z)\\&=\lim_{m\to\infty}\int\hat{\psi}(t)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}e^{-\Phi_0(z)}g_m(z)u(z,t)dtdv(z).\end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

Choose $\chi(t)\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ so that $\chi(t)=1$ when $|t|<1$ and $\chi(t)=0$ when $|t|>2$ and set $\chi_j(t)=\chi(t/j)$, $j\in\mathbb{N}$. For each m, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\hat\psi(t)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}e^{-\Phi_0(z)}g_m(z)u(z,t)dtdv(z)\\&=\lim_{j\to\infty}\int\hat\psi(t)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}e^{-\Phi_0(z)}g_m(z)u(z,t)\chi_j(t)dtdv(z).\end{aligned}\tag{7.18}$$

From Parseval's formula, we can check that for each j,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\hat\psi(t)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}e^{-\Phi_0(z)}g_m(z)u(z,t)\chi_j(t)dtdv(z)\\&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\alpha(\eta)\hat v_j(z,\eta)g_m(z)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}d\eta dv(z),\end{aligned}\tag{7.19}$$

where $\hat v_j(z,\eta)$ is as in (7.12) and

$$\alpha(\eta)=\int e^{-it\eta}\hat\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)dt.\tag{7.20}$$

From (7.19) and (7.18), we obtain for each m,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\hat\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}e^{-\Phi_0(z)}g_m(z)u(z,t)dv(z)dt\\&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\hat v(z,\eta)g_m(z)\alpha(\eta)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)d\eta,\end{aligned}\tag{7.21}$$

where $\hat v(z,\eta)$ is as in (7.14). Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\eta)&=\int e^{-it\eta}\hat\psi(t)\chi_M(t)dt\\&=\int e^{-it\eta}\hat\psi(t)dt+\int e^{-it\eta}\hat\psi(t)(\chi_M(t)-1)dt\\&=(2\pi)\psi(\eta)+\alpha_1(\eta),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_1(\eta)=\int e^{-it\eta}\hat\psi(t)(\chi_M(t)-1)dt.$$

Combining this with (7.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\hat\psi(t)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}g_m(z)u(z,t)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)dt\\&=\int\hat v(z,\eta)g_m(z)\psi(\eta)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)d\eta+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\hat v(z,\eta)g_m(z)\alpha_1(\eta)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)d\eta.\end{aligned}\tag{7.22}$$

Since $\hat v(z,\eta)\in L^2_{(0,1)}(H_n,\Phi_0)$, it is easy to see that

$$\int|\psi(\eta)|\,|\hat v(z,\eta)|\,|g_m(z)|\,e^{-\Phi_0(z)}d\eta dv(z)<\infty,\ \ \forall m>0.\tag{7.23}$$

From (7.15), we see that $\hat v(z,\eta)=0$ almost everywhere on $\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{R}_{p,1}$, for every $z\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Since $\operatorname{Supp}\psi\bigcap\mathbb{R}_{p,1}=\emptyset$(see the discussion after (5.1)), we conclude that for each $m>0$,

$$z\to\int\psi(\eta)\hat v(z,\eta)g_m(z)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}d\eta=0.\tag{7.24}$$

From (7.23), (7.24) and Fubini's Theorem, we obtain

$$\int\hat v(z,\eta)g_m(z)\psi(\eta)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}d\eta dv(z)=0\tag{7.25}$$

for every $m > 0$. From (7.25) and (7.22), we get for each m,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \hat{\psi}(t)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}g_m(z)u(z,t)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)dt\\&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int \hat{v}(z,\eta)g_m(z)\alpha_1(\eta)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)d\eta.\end{aligned}\tag{7.26}$$

Since $0 \leq \chi_M \leq 1$ and $\chi_M = 1$ if $-M \leq t \leq M$, we have

$$|\alpha_1(\eta)| = \left|\int_{|t|\geq M} e^{-it\eta}\hat{\psi}(t)(\chi_M(t)-1)dt\right| \leq \int_{|t|\geq M}\left|\hat{\psi}(t)\right|dt \leq \frac{2C_0}{M},\ \ \forall \eta\in\mathbb{R},\tag{7.27}$$

where $C_0 = \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} t^2\left|\hat{\psi}(t)\right|$. Put

$$f(\eta) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} |\hat{v}(z,\eta)|^2 e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z).$$

From (7.27) and (7.26), we have for each m,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int \hat{\psi}(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}g_m(z)u(z,t)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)dt\right|\\
&\leq \frac{2C_0}{M}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int |\hat{v}(z,\eta)|\, g_m(z)e^{-\Phi_0(z)}dv(z)d\eta = \frac{2C_0}{M}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{|z|\leq 1}\left|\hat{v}(\frac{z}{m},\eta)\right|\varphi(z)dv(z)d\eta\\
&\overset{by (7.15)}{\leq} \frac{2C_0}{M}(2\pi)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}\int_{|z|\leq 1} e^{\Phi(\frac{z}{m})}\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{p,1}}(\eta)\left|\det(M_p^\phi+2\eta\mathcal{L}_p)\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{f(\eta)}\varphi(z)dv(z)d\eta\\
&\leq \frac{2C_0}{M}(2\pi)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}\sup\{e^{\Phi_0(\frac{z}{m})};\, |z|\leq 1\}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{p,1}}\left|\det(M_p^\phi+2\eta\mathcal{L}_p)\right|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int f(\eta)d\eta\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\overset{by (7.13)}{=} \frac{2C_0}{M}(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sup\{e^{\Phi_0(\frac{z}{m})};\, |z|\leq 1\}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{p,1}}\left|\det(M_p^\phi+2\eta\mathcal{L}_p)\right|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{7.28}$$

Combining (7.28) with (7.17) and (5.5), we get

$$\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}u)(0)\right| \leq \frac{2C_0}{M}\Big((2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}_{p,1}}\left|\det(M_p^\phi+2\eta\mathcal{L}_p)\right|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \frac{E}{\sqrt{n-1}},$$

where E is as in (5.3). (7.16) follows. $\square$

In view of Proposition 7.3, we have proved that for all $u(z,\theta)\in\Omega^{0,1}(H_n)$ with $\|u\|_{\phi_0} = 1$, $\Box^{(1)}_{b,H_n}u = 0$, we have

$$\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}u)(0)_j\right|^2 \leq \left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}u)(0)\right|^2 < \frac{E^2}{n-1},$$

for all $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, where $(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}u)(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}u)_j(0)d\overline{z}_j$ and E is as in (5.3). Thus, for every $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, we have

$$(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}S^{(1)}_{j,H_n}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}})(0) < \frac{E^2}{n-1}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}S^{(1)}_{j,H_n}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,H_n}})(0) < E^2.\tag{7.29}$$

From (7.29) and Theorem 7.1, we obtain the main result of this section

Theorem 7.4. *Let $\nu_k > 0$ be any sequence with $\nu_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. For each $x \in X$, we have*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n}(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x) < E^2, \tag{7.30}$$

where E is as in (5.3).

The proof of the following theorem is essentially the same as the proof of (6.1). We omit the proof.

Theorem 7.5. *For any sequence $\nu_k > 0$ with $\nu_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of k, such that*

$$\left|(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x)\right| \leqslant Ck^n, \quad \forall x \in X. \tag{7.31}$$

Now, we can prove

Theorem 7.6. *Let $\nu_k > 0$ be any sequence with $\nu_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Then there is a $k_0 > 0$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$,*

$$\int_X (Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x)dv_X(x) \leq k^n \int_X E^2 dv_X(x), \tag{7.32}$$

where E is as in (5.3).

Proof. In view of Theorem 7.5, $\sup_k k^{-n}Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(\cdot)$ is integrable on X. Thus, we can apply Fatou's lemma and we get by using Theorem 7.4:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n}\int_X (Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x)dv_X(x)\\
&\leq \int_X \limsup_{k\to\infty} k^{-n}(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x)dv_X(x)\\
&< \int_X E^2 dv_X(x).
\end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows. □

8. The proof of Theorem 1.13

Let $\delta_k > 0$, $\delta_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, be as in Theorem 6.4 and let $\nu_k > 0$ be any sequence with $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\delta_k}{\nu_k} = 0$ and $\nu_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Let

$$\gamma_{1,k} < \gamma_{2,k} < \cdots < \gamma_{m_k,k}$$

be all the distinct non-zero eigenvalues of $\Box^{(0)}_{b,k}$ between 0 and $k\nu_k$. Thus, $\gamma_{1,k} > 0$ and $\gamma_{m_k,k} \leq k\nu_k$. We notice that $\gamma_{j,k}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m_k$, are also eigenvalues of $\Box^{(1)}_{b,k}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\mathscr{H}^q_{b,\lambda}(X, L^k)$ denote the space spanned by the eigenforms of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,k}$ whose eigenvalues are λ. For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, m_k\}$, let

$$f^1_{j,k}, f^2_{j,k}, \ldots, f^{d_{j,k}}_{j,k}$$

be an orthonormal basis for $\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\gamma_{j,k}}(X, L^k)$, where $d_{j,k} = \dim \mathscr{H}^0_{b,\gamma_{j,k}}(X, L^k)$. Let

$$f^1_{0,k}, f^2_{0,k}, \ldots, f^{d_{0,k}}_{0,k}$$

be an orthonormal basis for $\mathscr{H}^0_b(X, L^k)$, where $d_{0,k} = \dim \mathscr{H}^0_b(X, L^k)$.

Let $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$ and $Q^{(1)}_{M,k}$ be as in (5.7) and (5.13) respectively. By the definition of $(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)$(see (2.13)), we have

(8.1)

$$(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k})(x)=\sum^{d_{0,k}}_{t=1}\langle(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^t_{0,k})(x)|f^t_{0,k}(x)\rangle_{h^{L^k}}+\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\langle(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^t_{j,k})(x)|f^t_{j,k}(x)\rangle_{h^{L^k}}.$$

From (8.1) and (6.35), we conclude that

$$\begin{split}&\sum^{d_{0,k}}_{t=1}\Bigl|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^t_{0,k}\mid f^t_{0,k})_{h^{L^k}}\Bigr|+\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\Bigl|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^t_{j,k}\mid f^t_{j,k})_{h^{L^k}}\Bigr|\\&\quad\geq\frac{k^n}{2}(2\pi)^{1-n}\int_X\Bigl(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Bigr)dv_X(x),\end{split}\tag{8.2}$$

for k large. For $j=1,\ldots,m_k$, we put

$$g^t_{j,k}=\frac{1}{\bigl\|\overline\partial_{b,k}f^t_{j,k}\bigr\|_{h^{L^k}}}\overline\partial_{b,k}f^t_{j,k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_{j,k}}}\overline\partial_{b,k}f^t_{j,k}\in\mathscr{H}^1_{b,\gamma_{j,k}}(X,L^k),\quad t=1,\ldots,d_{j,k}.$$

For each $j=1,\ldots,m_k$,

$$\begin{split}(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}g^t_{j,k}\mid g^t_{j,k})_{h^{L^k}}&=\frac{1}{\gamma_{j,k}}(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\overline\partial_{b,k}f^t_{j,k}\mid\overline\partial_{b,k}f^t_{j,k})_{h^{L^k}}\\&=\frac{1}{\gamma_{j,k}}(\overline\partial_{b,k}Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^t_{j,k}\mid\overline\partial_{b,k}f^t_{j,k})_{h^{L^k}}\quad\text{here we used (5.18)}\\&=\frac{1}{\gamma_{j,k}}(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^t_{j,k}\mid\Box^{(0)}_{b,k}f^t_{j,k})_{h^{L^k}}\\&=(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^t_{j,k}\mid f^t_{j,k})_{h^{L^k}},\quad t=1,\ldots,d_{j,k}.\end{split}\tag{8.3}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split}&\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\Bigl|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^t_{j,k}\mid f^t_{j,k})_{h^{L^k}}\Bigr|\\&=\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\Bigl|(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}g^t_{j,k}\mid g^t_{j,k})_{h^{L^k}}\Bigr|\\&\leq\sqrt{\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\Bigl\|Q^{(1)}_{M,k}g^t_{j,k}\Bigr\|^2_{h^{L^k}}}\sqrt{\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\bigl\|g^t_{j,k}\bigr\|^2_{h^{L^k}}}.\end{split}\tag{8.4}$$

Since $\bigl\|g^t_{j,k}\bigr\|^2_{h^{L^k}}=\bigl\|f^t_{j,k}\bigr\|^2_{h^{L^k}}=1$, for every j and t, it is obviously that

$$\sqrt{\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\bigl\|g^t_{j,k}\bigr\|^2_{h^{L^k}}}=\sqrt{\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\bigl\|f^t_{j,k}\bigr\|^2_{h^{L^k}}}.$$

Combining this with (8.4) and (8.2), we get

$$\begin{split}&\sum^{d_{0,k}}_{t=1}\Bigl|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^t_{0,k}\mid f^t_{0,k})_{h^{L^k}}\Bigr|+\sqrt{\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\Bigl\|Q^{(1)}_{M,k}g^t_{j,k}\Bigr\|^2_{h^{L^k}}}\sqrt{\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\bigl\|f^t_{j,k}\bigr\|^2_{h^{L^k}}}\\&\quad\geq\frac{k^n}{2}(2\pi)^{1-n}\int_X\Bigl(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Bigr)dv_X(x),\end{split}\tag{8.5}$$

for k large.

We can check that for each $j=1,\ldots,m_k$, $g^t_{j,k}$, $t=1,\ldots,d_{j,k}$ is an orthonormal basis of the space $\overline{\partial}_{b,k}\mathscr{H}^0_{b,\gamma_{j,k}}(X,L^k)\subset\mathscr{H}^1_{b,\gamma_{j,k}}(X,L^k)$. From this observation and the definition of $(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x)$(see (2.14)), we conclude that

$$\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\left|Q^{(1)}_{M,k}g^t_{j,k}\right|^2_{h^{L^k}}(x)\leq(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x).\tag{8.6}$$

Thus,

$$\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\left\|Q^{(1)}_{M,k}g^t_{j,k}\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}}\leq\int_X(Q^{(1)}_{M,k}\Pi^{(1)}_{k,\leq k\nu_k}\overline{Q^{(1)}_{M,k}})(x)dv(x).\tag{8.7}$$

Combining (8.7) with (7.32), we get

$$\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\left\|Q^{(1)}_{M,k}g^t_{j,k}\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}}\leq k^n\int_X E^2dv_X(x)\tag{8.8}$$

for k large, where E is as in (5.3).

From (2.19) and (2.18), we conclude that

$$\int_X\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}(x)dv_X(x)=k^n(2\pi)^{-n}\int_X\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}\left|\det(M^\phi_x+2s\mathcal{L}_x)\right|ds\Big)dv_X(x)+o(k^n),\tag{8.9}$$

for k large. It is obviously that

$$\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\left\|f^t_{j,k}\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}}\leq\int_X\Pi^{(0)}_{k,\leqslant k\nu_k}(x)dv_X(x).$$

Combining this with (8.9), we get

$$\begin{split}&\sum^{m_k}_{j=1}\sum^{d_{j,k}}_{t=1}\left\|f^t_{j,k}\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}}\\&\leq k^n2(2\pi)^{-n}\int_X\Big(\int\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x)\end{split}\tag{8.10}$$

for k large. From (8.8), (8.10), (8.5) and (5.3), we obtain

Theorem 8.1. *Let*

$$f^1_{0,k},f^2_{0,k},\ldots,f^{d_{0,k}}_{0,k}$$

be an orthonormal basis for $\mathscr{H}^0_b(X,L^k)$, *where* $d_{0,k}=\dim\mathscr{H}^0_b(X,L^k)$. *Then, for* k *large, we have*

$$\sum^{d_{0,k}}_{t=1}\left|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^t_{0,k}\mid f^t_{0,k})_{h^{L^k}}\right|\geq\frac{k^n}{4}(2\pi)^{1-n}\int_X\Big(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x+2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x).\tag{8.11}$$

The following is straightforward

Lemma 8.2. *For* k *large, there is a constant* $C>0$ *independent of* k, *such that*

$$\left\|Q^{(0)}_{M,k}u\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}}\leq C\left\|u\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}},\ \ \forall u\in C^\infty(X,L^k).$$

Proof. Let $D \Subset D' \Subset D'' \Subset X$ be open sets of X and let s be a local section of L on D''. We assume that there exist canonical coordinates $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}) = (z, \theta)$ on D''. Let χ_M be as in (5.6). For k large, we have $\left\{\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x) \in D';\ \forall x \in D, t \in \operatorname{Supp}\chi_M\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Supp} f(\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}x) \subset D'$, $\forall t \in \operatorname{Supp}\chi_M$, $\forall f \in C^\infty_0(D, L^k)$. In cannonical coordinates $x = (z, \theta)$, we have $\Phi^{\frac{t}{k}}(x) = (z, \frac{t}{k} + \theta)$. Let $m(z,\theta)dv(z)d\theta$ be the volume form on D'', where $dv(z) = 2^{n-1}dx_1dx_2\ldots dx_{2n-2}$. Since $m(z,\theta)$ is strictly positive, for k large, there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ independent of k, such that

$$m(z,\theta) \leq C_1 m(z, \theta + \frac{t}{k}),\ \ \forall (z,\theta) \in D',\ \ t \in \operatorname{Supp}\chi_M. \tag{8.12}$$

Let $u \in C^\infty_0(D, L^k)$. On D'', we write $u = s^k\widetilde{u}$, $\widetilde{u} \in C^\infty_0(D)$. From the definition of $Q^{(0)}_{M,k}$ (see (5.7)), we can check that for k large,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \left|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}u)(x)\right|^2_{h^{L^k}} dv_X(x)\\
&= \int_{D'} \left|\int\!\!\int e^{-it\eta}\psi(\eta)\chi_M(t)e^{-\frac{k}{2}\phi(z,\theta+\frac{t}{k})}\widetilde{u}(z,\theta+\frac{t}{k})dtd\eta\right|^2 m(z,\theta)dv(z)d\theta\\
&\leq \widetilde{C}\int_{(z,\theta)\in D'} \chi_M(t)e^{-k\phi(z,\theta+\frac{t}{k})}\left|\widetilde{u}(z,\theta+\frac{t}{k})\right|^2 m(z,\theta)dtd\theta dv(z)\\
&\leq \widetilde{C}C_1\int_{(z,\theta)\in D'} \chi_M(t)e^{-k\phi(z,\theta+\frac{t}{k})}\left|\widetilde{u}(z,\theta+\frac{t}{k})\right|^2 m(z,\theta+\frac{t}{k})dtd\theta dv(z)\\
&= \widetilde{C}C_1\int_{(z,\lambda-\frac{t}{k})\in D'} \chi_M(t)e^{-k\phi(z,\lambda)}\left|\widetilde{u}(z,\lambda)\right|^2 m(z,\lambda)dtd\lambda dv(z)\\
&\leq C\int e^{-k\phi(z,\theta)}\left|\widetilde{u}(z,\theta)\right|^2 m(z,\theta)dv(z)d\theta = C\left\|u\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

where $\widetilde{C} > 0$, $C > 0$ are independent of k and u and C_1 is as in (8.12). From (8.13) and by using partition of unity, the lemma follows. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.13. From Lemma 8.2 and (8.11), we see that for k large,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{C}d_{0,k} = \sqrt{C}\sum^{d_{0,k}}_{t=1}\left\|f^t_{0,k}\right\|^2_{h^{L^k}} &\geq \sum^{d_{0,k}}_{t=1}\left|(Q^{(0)}_{M,k}f^t_{0,k}\mid f^t_{0,k})_{h^{L^k}}\right|\\
&\geq \frac{k^n}{4}(2\pi)^{1-n}\int_X\Big(\int\psi(\xi)\det(M^\phi_x + 2\xi\mathcal{L}_x)1_{\mathbb{R}_{x,0}}(\xi)d\xi\Big)dv_X(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is the constant as in Lemma 8.2 and $d_{0,k} = \dim\mathscr{H}^0_b(X, L^k)$. Theorem 1.13 follows. ☐

9. EXAMPLES

In this section, some examples are collected. The aim is to illustrate the main results in some simple situations.

9.1. **Compact Heisenberg groups.** We consider compact analogues of the Heisenberg group H_n. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}$ be given non-zero integers. Let $\mathscr{C}H_n = (\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R})/_\sim$, where $(z,\theta) \sim (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\theta})$ if

$$\widetilde{z} - z = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} + i\sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}\,, \quad \widetilde{\theta} - \theta - i\textstyle\sum^{n-1}_{j=1}\lambda_j(z_j\overline{\alpha}_j - \overline{z}_j\alpha_j) \in \pi\mathbb{Z}\,.$$

We can check that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and $\mathscr{C}H_n$ is a compact manifold of dimension $2n-1$. The equivalence class of $(z,\theta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $[(z,\theta)]$. For a given point $p = [(z, \theta)]$, we define $T^{1,0}_p \mathscr{C}H_n$ to be the space spanned by

$$\big\{\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \theta},\ \ j = 1, \ldots, n-1\big\}.$$

It is easy to see that the definition above is independent of the choice of a representative (z, θ) for $[(z, \theta)]$. Moreover, we can check that $T^{1,0}\mathscr{C}H_n$ is a CR structure and $T := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ is a rigid global real vector field. Thus, $(\mathscr{C}H_n, T^{1,0}\mathscr{C}H_n)$ is a compact Heisenberg manifold of dimension $2n-1$. We take a Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot \rangle$ on the complexified tangent bundle $\mathbb{C}T\mathscr{C}H_n$ such that

$$\Big\{\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\,,\, \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} - i\lambda_j z_j \tfrac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\,,\, -\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\,;\, j = 1, \ldots, n-1\Big\}$$

is an orthonormal basis. The dual basis of the complexified cotangent bundle is

$$\Big\{dz_j\,,\, d\overline{z}_j\,,\, \omega_0 := -d\theta + \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j dz_j - i\lambda_j z_j d\overline{z}_j); j = 1, \ldots, n-1\Big\}.$$

The Levi form $\mathcal{L}_p$ of $\mathscr{C}H_n$ at $p \in \mathscr{C}H_n$ is given by $\mathcal{L}_p = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j$.

Now, we construct a rigid Heisenberg line bundle over $\mathscr{C}H_n$. Let $L = (\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C})/_{\equiv}$ where $(z, \theta, \eta) \equiv (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\theta}, \widetilde{\eta})$ if

$$\begin{aligned}
&(z, \theta) \sim (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\theta}),\\
&\widetilde{\eta} = \eta \exp\Big(\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} \mu_{j,t}\big(z_j \overline{\alpha}_t + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \overline{\alpha}_t\big)\Big), \quad \text{for } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}) = \widetilde{z} - z,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{j,t} = \mu_{t,j}$, $j, t = 1, \ldots, n-1$, are given integers. We can check that $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation and L is a Heisenberg line bundle over $\mathscr{C}H_n$. For $(z, \theta, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ we denote $[(z, \theta, \eta)]$ its equivalence class. It is straightforward to see that the pointwise norm

$$\big|[(z, \theta, \eta)]\big|^2_{h^L} := |\eta|^2 \exp\big(-\textstyle\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} \mu_{j,t} z_j \overline{z}_t\big)$$

is well-defined. In local coordinates (z, θ, η), the weight function of this metric is

$$\phi = \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} \mu_{j,t} z_j \overline{z}_t.$$

We can check that $\overline{\partial}_b T\phi = 0$. Thus, (L, h^L) is a rigid Heisenberg line bundle over $\mathscr{C}H_n$. Note that

$$\overline{\partial}_b = \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d\overline{z}_j \wedge \big(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} - i\lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\big), \quad \partial_b = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} dz_j \wedge \big(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\lambda_j \overline{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\big).$$

Thus $d(\overline{\partial}_b \phi - \partial_b \phi) = 2\sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} \mu_{j,t} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_t$ and for any $p \in \mathscr{C}H_n$,

$$M^\phi_p = \sum_{j,t=1}^{n-1} \mu_{j,t} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_t.$$

From this and Theorem 1.13, we obtain

Theorem 9.1. *If the matrix $(\mu_{j,t})_{j,t=1}^{n-1}$ is positive definite and $Y(0)$, $Y(1)$ hold on $\mathscr{C}H_n$, then for k large, there is a constant $c > 0$ independent of k, such that*

$$\dim H^0_b(\mathscr{C}H_n, L^k) \geq ck^n.$$

9.2. **Holomorphic line bundles over a complex torus.** Let

$$T_n := \mathbb{C}^n/(\sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^n + i\sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^n)$$

be the flat torus. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_{j,t})_{j,t=1}^n$, where $\lambda_{j,t} = \lambda_{t,j}$, $j,t = 1,\ldots,n$, are given integers. Let L_λ be the holomorphic line bundle over T_n with curvature the $(1,1)$-form $\Theta_\lambda = \sum_{j,t=1}^n \lambda_{j,t} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_t$. More precisely, $L_\lambda := (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C})/_\sim$, where $(z,\theta) \sim (\widetilde{z},\widetilde{\theta})$ if

$$\widetilde{z} - z = (\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n) \in \sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^n + i\sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}^n\,, \quad \widetilde{\theta} = \exp\big(\textstyle\sum_{j,t=1}^n \lambda_{j,t}(z_j\overline{\alpha}_t + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\overline{\alpha}_t)\big)\theta\,.$$

We can check that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and L_λ is a holomorphic line bundle over T_n. For $[(z,\theta)] \in L_\lambda$ we define the Hermitian metric by

$$\big|[(z,\theta)]\big|^2 := |\theta|^2 \exp(-\textstyle\sum_{j,t=1}^n \lambda_{j,t} z_j \overline{z}_t)$$

and it is easy to see that this definition is independent of the choice of a representative (z,θ) of $[(z,\theta)]$. We denote by $\phi_\lambda(z)$ the weight of this Hermitian fiber metric. Note that $\partial\overline{\partial}\phi_\lambda = \Theta_\lambda$.

Let L^*_λ be the dual bundle of L_λ and let $\|\cdot\|_{L^*_\lambda}$ be the norm of L^*_λ induced by the Hermitian fiber metric on L_λ. Consider the compact Heisenberg manifold of dimension $2n+1$: $X = \{v \in L^*_\lambda;\ \|v\|_{L^*_\lambda} = 1\}$; this is the boundary of the Grauert tube associated to L^*_λ.

Let $\pi : L^*_\lambda \to T_n$ be the natural projection from L^*_λ onto T_n. Let $\mu = (\mu_{j,t})_{j,t=1}^n$, where $\mu_{j,t} = \mu_{t,j}$, $j,t = 1,\ldots,n$, are given integers. Let L_μ be another holomorphic line bundle over T_n determined by the constant curvature form $\Theta_\mu = \sum_{j,t=1}^n \mu_{j,t} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_t$ as above. The pullback line bundle $\pi^* L_\mu$ is a holomorphic line bundle over L^*_λ. The Hermitian fiber metric ϕ_μ on L_μ induces a Hermitian fiber metric on $\pi^* L_\mu$ that we shall denote by ψ. If we restict $\pi^* L_\mu$ on X, then we can check that $\pi^* L_\mu$ is a Heisenberg line bundle over the Heisenberg manifold X.

The part of X that lies over a fundamental domain of T_n can be represented in local holomorphic coordinates (z,ξ), where ξ is the fiber coordinate, as the set of all (z,ξ) such that $r(z,\xi) := |\xi|^2 \exp(\sum_{j,t=1}^n \lambda_{j,t} z_j \overline{z}_t) - 1 = 0$ and the fiber metric ψ may be written as $\psi(z,\xi) = \sum_{j,t=1}^n \mu_{j,t} z_j \overline{z}_t$. From this we see that with this fiber metric ψ, $\pi^* L_\mu$ is a rigid Heisenberg line bundle over X. It is straightforward to check that for any $p \in X$, we have $M^\psi_p = \frac{1}{2} d(\overline{\partial}_b \psi - \partial_b \psi)(p)|_{T^{1,0}X} = \sum_{j,t=1}^n \mu_{j,t} dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_t$. From this observation and Theorem 1.13, we obtain

Theorem 9.2. *If the matrix $(\mu_{j,t})_{j,t=1}^{n-1}$ is positive definite and $Y(0)$, $Y(1)$ hold on X, then for k large, there is a constant $c > 0$ independent of k, such that*

$$\dim H^0_b(X, (\pi^* L_\mu)^k) \geq c k^{n+1}.$$

Acknowledgements. *The author would like to thank the institute of Mathematics of Academia Sinica, Taiwan, for offering excellent working conditions during the month of February, 2012. Furthermore, the author is grateful to Prof. George Marinescu for comments and useful suggestions on an early draft of the manuscript.*

REFERENCES

[1] A. Andreotti and Y.-T, Siu, *Projective embedding of pseudoconcave spaces*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa(3), **24** (1970), 231–278.

[2] M.-S. Baouendi and L.-P. Rothschild and F.-Treves, *CR structures with group action and extendability of CR functions*, Invent. Math., **83** (1985), 359–396.

[3] R. Berman, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities on manifolds with boundary*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **55** (2005), no. 4, 1055–1103.

[4] L. Boutet de Monvel, *Intégration des équations de Cauchy-Riemann induites formelles*, Séminaire Goulaouic-Lions-Schwartz 1974–1975; Équations aux derivées partielles linéaires et non linéaires, Centre Math., École Polytech., Paris, 1975, Exp. no. 9, pp. 13.

[5] S-C. Chen and M-C. Shaw, *Partial differential equations in several complex variables*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics. 19. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS). Somerville, MA: International Press, xii, 380 p., (2001).

[6] E.-B. Davies, *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. **42**, (1995).

[7] J.-P. Demailly, *Champs magnétiques et inegalités de Morse pour la d''–cohomologie*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **35** (1985), 189–229.

[8] E. Epstein and G. Henkin, *Stability of embeddings for pseudoconcave surfaces and their boundaries*, Acta Math.,**185** (2000), no. 2, 161–237.

[9] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Annals of Mathematics Studies. No.75. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press and University of Tokyo Press, 146 p. (1972).

[10] C-Y. Hsiao and G. Marinescu, *Szegö kernel asymptotics and Morse inequalities on CR manifolds*, preprint available at arXiv:1005.5471, to apper in Math. Z.

[11] J.J. Kohn, *Boundaries of complex manifolds.*, Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis, Minneapolis 1964, 81-94, (1965).

[12] G. Marinescu, *Asymptotic Morse Inequalities for Pseudoconcave Manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **23** (1996), no. 1, 27–55.

[13] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, Repr. of the 6th ed. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. xiv, 506 p., (1994).

Universität zu Köln, Mathematisches Institut, Weyertal 86-90, 50931 Köln, Germany

E-mail address: `chsiao@math.uni-koeln.de`